


*Return to Sally For File*



# ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT

JANUARY-DECEMBER 1982



Rockwell International  
Energy Systems Group  
Rocky Flats Plant



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
ADMINISTRATION CONTRACT DE-AC04-76DPO3533

ADMIN RECORD

SW-A-004725

Printed  
April 15, 1983

RFP-ENV-82


**ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, ROCKY FLATS PLANT  
January Through December 1982**

**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS SECTION**

Daryl D. Hornbacher, Manager  
Carol J. Barker, Report Coordinator

**ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL  
ENERGY SYSTEMS GROUP  
ROCKY FLATS PLANT  
P.O. BOX 464  
GOLDEN, COLORADO 80401**

**Prepared under Contract DE-AC04-76DPO3533  
for the  
Albuquerque Operations Office  
U.S. Department of Energy**



ROCKY FLATS PLANT VIEWED FROM THE EAST

24151-13A

## CONTENTS

Abstract .....	iv
I. Introduction .....	1
II. Site Meteorology and Climatology .....	6
III. Monitoring Summary .....	6
IV. Monitoring Data: Collection, Analyses, and Evaluation. ....	10
A. Airborne Effluent Monitoring .....	10
B. Radioactive Ambient Air Monitoring. ....	12
C. Nonradioactive Ambient Air Monitoring .....	15
D. Waterborne Effluent Monitoring .....	18
E. Groundwater Monitoring .....	20
F. Regional Water Monitoring .....	28
G. Soil Sampling and Analysis .....	28
H. Vegetation Sampling and Analysis. ....	33
J. External Gamma Radiation Dose Monitoring .....	34
V. Assessment of Potential Plant Contribution to Public Radiation Dose....	35
A. Dose Assessment Source Terms .....	35
B. Maximum Site Boundary Dose. ....	37
C. Maximum Community Dose. ....	37
D. Eighty-Kilometer Dose Estimates .....	37
VI. Appendixes .....	39
A. Applicable Guides and Standards. ....	39
B. Quality Control .....	42
C. Analytical Procedures .....	44
D. Detection Limits and Error Term Propagation. ....	47
E. Reporting of Minimum Detectable Concentrations and Error Terms .....	51
References. ....	52
Acknowledgements .....	54
Distribution. ....	55

### ABSTRACT

This report documents the environmental surveillance program at the Rocky Flats Plant, as conducted by the Environmental Analysis Section of the Environmental and Occupational Health Branch. Sample analyses are performed by the Health, Safety, and Environmental Laboratories of the Health, Safety and Environment Department and by the General Laboratory of the Quality Engineering and Control Department. This report includes an evaluation of Plant compliance with all appropriate guides, limits, and standards. Potential public radiation dose commitments were calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the Plant property boundaries and in surrounding communities. Comparisons with appropriate guides, limits, and standards and with background levels from natural or other non-Plant sources, provide a basis for concluding that no adverse environmental effects were attributable to the operation of the Rocky Flats Plant during 1982.

**ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, ROCKY FLATS PLANT  
January Through December 1982**

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The Rocky Flats Plant is a government-owned and contractor-operated facility. It is part of a nationwide nuclear weapons research, development, and production complex administered by the Albuquerque Operations Office of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The prime operating contractor for the Rocky Flats Plant is the Energy Systems Group of Rockwell International.

The Rocky Flats Plant is located at 105°11'30" west longitude and 39°53'30" north latitude in northern Jefferson County, Colorado. The Plant is approximately 26 kilometers (16 miles) northwest of downtown Denver and is almost equidistant from the cities of Boulder, Golden, and Arvada (Figure 1). The site consists of 2,650 hectares (6,550 acres) of federally owned land. As shown in Figure 2, major Plant structures are located within a security-fenced area of 155 hectares (384 acres).

The Plant is a key DOE facility that produces components for nuclear weapons; therefore, its product is directly related to national defense. The Plant is involved in fabricating components from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. Production activities include metal fabrication and assembly, chemical recovery and purification of process-produced transuranic radionuclides, and related quality control functions. Research and engineering programs supporting these activities involve chemistry, physics, materials technology, ecology, nuclear safety, and mechanical engineering.

The more than 100 structures on the Plant site contain about 189,000 square meters (2.03 million square feet) of floor space. Of this space, major manufacturing, chemical processing, plutonium recovery, and waste treatment facilities occupy about 156,000 square meters (1.68 million square feet). Major laboratory and research buildings occupy about 13,850 square meters (149,000

square feet). The remaining floor space is divided among administrative, utility, security, warehousing, storage, and construction contractor facilities.

All of the Plant's heating requirements are met by in-plant steam boilers that normally use natural gas but are also capable of using fuel oil. During Calendar Year 1982, approximately 20.3 million cubic meters (716 million cubic feet) of natural gas were used. Fuel oil used during 1982 was 564 liters (149,000 gallons). Raw water is purchased from the Denver Water Board and is drawn from Ralston Reservoir and the South Boulder Diversion Canal. The Rocky Flats Plant used approximately 386 million liters (102 million gallons) of water during 1982.

The piedmont of the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains rises 8 kilometers (5 miles) west of the site and crests at the Continental Divide, which is 32 kilometers (20 miles) from the Plant. The natural environment of the Plant site and vicinity is influenced primarily by the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains and the site elevation, which is 1,829 meters (6,000 feet) above sea level. The surficial geology of Rocky Flats consists of a thin layer of gravelly topsoil underlain by a 6- to 15-meter (20- to 49-foot) thick layer of coarser, clayey gravel. This is underlain by an impermeable bedrock structure upon which Plant building foundations are supported. Area hydrology is influenced by the topsoil, which consists of gravelly and highly permeable alluvium. Water retention in the soil is poor, and vegetation in the area is sparse. Cactus, spanish bayonet, and grasses representative of a mixed short- and mid-grass plain, constitute the main ground cover, and cottonwood trees grow adjacent to watercourses. Introduced Eurasian weeds also make up part of the flora.

The climate at Rocky Flats is characterized by dry, cool winters and warm, somewhat moist summers.




FIGURE 1. Area Map of Rocky Flats Plant and Surrounding Communities

There is considerable clear-sky sunshine, and the average precipitation and relative humidity are low. The elevation of the Plant and the major topographical features of the area significantly influence the climate and meteorological dispersion characteristics of the site.

Winds at Rocky Flats, although variable, are predominantly northwesterly, with stronger winds occurring during the winter. During 1982, approximately 54 percent of the winds had a westerly component.

Annual average precipitation at the Rocky Flats Plant is slightly over 38.1 centimeters (15 inches).

The maximum annual precipitation recorded over a 24-year period was 63.17 centimeters (24.87 inches) in 1969. Typically, more than 80 percent of the precipitation falls as rain between April and September. Most of the remaining precipitation is in the form of snow.

Air in production and research facilities is continuously discharged to the atmosphere by 43 ventilation exhaust systems. Prior to atmospheric discharge, the exhaust air passes through particulate filtration systems. These filtration systems employ High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters, that are purchased to equal or exceed the DOE specified filtration efficiency standard of 99.97






FIGURE 2. Aerial Photograph of the Rocky Flats Plant and Immediate Vicinity

15299

percent for  $0.3 \mu\text{m}$  particles. Prior to installation in the filter plenums, each filter is tested at the Plant to ensure that the filtration efficiency is not less than the standard. Airborne radioactivity released to the environment from process operations is kept to a minimum and is well within Plant health and safety guidelines.

As shown in Figure 3, surface water runoff from the Plant is from west to east. Runoff is carried from the Plant by three major drainage basins that are tributary to Walnut Creek on the north and to Woman Creek on the south. The south fork of Walnut Creek receives most of the stormwater runoff from areas surrounding Plant buildings.

Also shown in Figures 2 and 3 is the confluence of the north and south forks of Walnut Creek which is 1.1 kilometers (0.7 mile) west of the

eastern perimeter of the Plant. Great Western Reservoir, a water supply for a part of the City of Broomfield, is 1.6 kilometers (1 mile) east of this confluence. Woman Creek flows east from Rocky Flats into Standley Lake, a water supply for the City of Westminster and for portions of the cities of Northglenn and Thornton. Ponds on the north fork of Walnut Creek are designated A-1 through A-4. Ponds on the south fork are designated B-1 through B-5. These ponds receive runoff and/or treated sanitary wastewater. Pond C-1 is located on the Woman Creek watercourse. Pond C-2, located near the Woman Creek watercourse, receives surface runoff water from an interceptor ditch parallel to the south side of Plant production areas.

Certain operations at the Rocky Flats Plant involve or produce radioactively contaminated liquids,






FIGURE 3. Holding Ponds and Liquid Effluent Watercourses

solids, and gases. Radioactive materials are handled in accordance with stringent procedures and within multiple containments (physical barriers) designed to minimize the release of contaminants to the environment. The radioactive waste systems include collection, filtration, liquid processing, and temporary storage facilities for those process wastes known, or suspected, to have been in contact with radioactive materials. The liquid waste process system concentrates liquid wastes containing unrecoverable radioactive materials into solid wastes suitable for shipment, along with other contaminated solid wastes, to a DOE-approved storage facility. Specific details of Plant waste processing facilities are described in the Rocky Flats Plant Site Final Environmental Impact Statement.<sup>1</sup>

Sanitary waste is processed by the sanitary waste treatment plant, and is isolated from process waste throughout the Plant. Conditioning chemicals are added to destroy biologically degradable organic wastes. The treatment plant is of the activated sludge type and has three stages of treatment. It

has a design capacity of 1,700,000 liters (450,000 gallons) per day. Present daily flows usually vary between 570,000 and 950,000 liters (150,000 and 250,000 gallons) per day. One of two 265,000-liter (70,000 gallon) preaeration holding tanks, located upstream from the sewage plant, serves as a surge basin to smooth out peak flows. A second holding tank provides storage capacity for sanitary wastes from plutonium process areas, should emergency retention be required. Liquid effluents from the sanitary waste treatment plant can be released to Walnut Creek, released to holding ponds for subsequent onsite irrigation, or pumped to a reverse osmosis facility for further treatment. After treatment, product water from the reverse osmosis facility can be used in Plant cooling towers or for spray irrigation. It may also be released to Walnut Creek. No water has been released to Walnut Creek since 1979.

Residual solids from the sanitary waste treatment plant are concentrated, dried, packaged, and shipped to a DOE-approved storage facility. Reverse

osmosis brine is sent to process waste treatment for evaporation and drying, and the salts are packaged and shipped to a DOE-approved storage facility.

Nonradioactive solid wastes are transferred to an onsite sanitary landfill for disposal. This landfill was designed and constructed in 1974 with an impervious clay seal layer and surface water diversion ditches. Routine materials are checked daily for radioactivity at the landfill site before final burial. The disposal of nonroutine or special nonradioactive waste materials is administratively controlled.

Groundwater and surface water flow, in and around the sanitary landfill, is controlled by interceptor trenches and by french drains. The trenches divert all upgradient waters around the landfill. The drains collect groundwater from the perimeter of the landfill and divert it around a holding pond. The holding pond collects subsurface drainage from the landfill. Water samples from this holding pond, the drains, and the three test wells in the vicinity are collected periodically and are analyzed for pollutants and radioactivity.

Land use at the Rocky Flats Plant is managed by Rockwell International for the Department of Energy. This includes land utilization planning and environmental and physical control of the land. All major activities conducted on Plant site land require approval by the Rockwell Executive Committee based upon recommendations of a Land Management Coordinator. The Coordinator evaluates all research projects and other nonroutine activities on Plant lands by means of a Land Use Request system. The effects of such activities are evaluated by Environmental Analysis personnel through field observations and remote sensing techniques.

Personnel in the Environmental and Occupational Health Branch of Rockwell International conduct an extensive environmental surveillance program at the Plant. This program is designed to provide assurance that the many safeguards at the Plant effectively limit the release of radioactive or toxic materials. Environmental and Occupational Health personnel assist various operating groups in adhering to the DOE policy that "...operations shall be conducted in a manner to assure that

radiation exposure to individuals and population groups is limited to the lowest levels technically and economically practicable."<sup>2</sup>

The environs are monitored for ionizing radiation and for pertinent radioactive, chemical, and biological pollutants. Air, water, soil, and vegetation are sampled on the Plant site and throughout the surrounding region. Several Federal, State, and local governmental agencies independently conduct additional environmental surveys on and off the Plant site. The Colorado Department of Health samples air, soil, and water at the Rocky Flats site and in surrounding communities. It also operates an onsite, continuous, particulate air sampler for the Jefferson County Health Department. The DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) conducts particulate air sampling at the Rocky Flats Plant and periodically performs special studies, including sediment and soil analyses. Additional special analyses have been performed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Plutonium concentrations in this report represent the alpha radioactivity from plutonium isotopes 239 and 240, which constitute over 97 percent of the alpha radioactivity in plutonium handled at the Plant. Reported uranium concentrations are the cumulative alpha activity from uranium 233, 234, and 238. Fully enriched and depleted uranium are the principal types of uranium handled at Rocky Flats. Uranium 235 is the major isotope by weight (93 percent) in fully enriched uranium; however, uranium 234 accounts for approximately 97 percent of the alpha activity of fully enriched uranium. In depleted uranium, the combined alpha activity from uranium 234 and 238 accounts for approximately 99 percent of the total alpha activity. The Radioactivity Concentration Guides<sup>2</sup> (RCG's) used in this report for uranium in air and water are those for uranium 233, 234, and uranium 238, which are the most restrictive.

The information contained in this report is submitted in compliance with Department of Energy Order 5484.1, Chapter IV and is a compilation of data provided monthly to the DOE Rocky Flats Area Office, the Radiation Control Division of the Colorado Department of Health, Region VIII of

the EPA, the health departments of Boulder and Jefferson Counties, and to interested city officials in communities near the Plant.

## II. SITE METEOROLOGY AND CLIMATOLOGY

Wind, temperature, and precipitation data were collected from different locations on the Plant site during 1982.

Table 1 is an annual summary of the percent frequency of wind directions (16 compass points) divided into four speed categories. The compass point designations indicate the true bearing when facing against the wind. These frequency values are represented graphically in Figure 4. The wind rose vectors also represent the bearing against the wind (i.e., wind along each vector blows toward the center). The predominance of northwesterly winds is typical at Rocky Flats. The low frequency of winds greater than 7 meters per second (15.6 mph) with easterly components is also normal.

Daily maximum temperatures were above normal during the first eight months (with the exception of June), and then dropped below the 24-year average for the remainder of the year. Daily minimums for 1982 were above normal with the exception of June. The annual average maximum and minimum for 1982 were above the 24-year average.

A summary of monthly water-equivalent precipitation is shown in Figure 5, along with the 1953-1976 monthly averages for comparison. Precipitation was much above average from May through September, as well as during December. This outweighed the below normal readings that characterized the remaining six months of the year. The annual precipitation of 55.12 centimeters was 43 percent above the 24-yr mean of 38.50 centimeters.

## III. MONITORING SUMMARY

During 1982, the Rocky Flats Plant conducted an environmental monitoring program that included the sampling and analysis of airborne effluents, ambient air, surface and groundwater, soil, and

TABLE 1. Wind Direction Frequency (Percent), by Four Wind-Speed Classes, at the Rocky Flats Plant (Hourly Averages - East Gate - 1982<sup>a</sup>)

	Calm	1-3 (m/s) <sup>b</sup>	3-7 (m/s)	7-15 (m/s)	>15 (m/s)	TOTAL
-	0.18	-	-	-	-	0.18
N	-	2.12	7.06	0.89	0.00	10.07
NNE	-	1.57	3.56	0.83	0.00	5.96
NE	-	1.65	2.41	0.28	0.00	4.34
ENE	-	1.70	1.83	0.11	0.00	3.64
E	-	1.34	1.22	0.02	0.00	2.58
ESE	-	1.31	1.31	0.00	0.00	2.63
SE	-	1.60	1.91	0.03	0.00	3.55
SSE	-	3.01	3.88	0.05	0.00	6.94
S	-	1.90	3.52	0.26	0.00	5.67
SSW	-	1.45	2.92	0.32	0.00	4.69
SW	-	2.00	2.08	0.28	0.02	4.37
WSW	-	2.12	3.68	0.28	0.00	6.08
W	-	1.85	4.78	0.96	0.08	7.67
WNW	-	1.79	5.14	3.78	0.70	11.40
NW	-	1.39	5.41	3.96	0.47	11.23
NNW	-	2.06	5.95	0.96	0.02	8.99
TOTALS	0.18	28.89	56.67	12.98	1.28	100.00

a. Data obtained from sensors located ~10 m (33 ft) above the ground.

b. For conversion purposes, miles per hour (mph) equals 2.237 multiplied by meters per second (m/s).

vegetation. External penetrating gamma-radiation exposures were also measured using thermoluminescent dosimeters. The program consists of collecting samples at onsite, boundary, and offsite locations. Ambient air quality monitoring and monitoring of water for trace quantities of toxic materials, metals, nitrates, biocides, herbicides, and polychlorinated biphenyls also were performed. Specific details of the routine Rocky Flats Environmental Monitoring Program are documented in the "Catalogue of Monitoring Activities at Rocky Flats."<sup>3</sup>

Particulate and tritium sampling of building exhaust systems was conducted continuously. Emission data derived from analysis of these samples were in the ranges normally expected and presented no significant insult to the environment.






FIGURE 5. Monthly and Annual Water-Equivalent Precipitation at the Rocky Flats Plant

Particulate samples are collected from ambient air samplers operated continuously onsite, at the Plant perimeter, and in twelve community locations. Analysis of the samples indicated that the concentrations of airborne plutonium at all locations were far below applicable RCG's.<sup>2,4</sup> At the Plant perimeter and at the community locations, the 1982 average plutonium concentration in ambient air was 0.03 percent of the applicable DOE and Colorado Department of Health RCG's<sup>2,4</sup> and less than 0.5 percent of the proposed EPA guidance for plutonium in ambient air.<sup>5</sup>

During 1982 monitoring of ambient air for total suspended particulates (TSP), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and lead was conducted utilizing a self-contained, mobile ambient air monitoring (MAAM) van. These four parameters are criteria pollutants regulated by the EPA and the State of Colorado through the Clean Air Act of 1970 that includes the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).<sup>6</sup> For TSP, the calculated annual geometric mean was 48 percent of the annual Geometric Primary Standard. The highest one-hour concentration of O<sub>3</sub> was 29 percent above the EPA Primary One-Hour Standard. This value was consistent with levels reported in the Denver Metropolitan area. For SO<sub>2</sub>, the calculated annual arithmetic mean was 13 percent of the EPA annual mean standard. The lead concentration measured during the last quarter of 1982 was 5.7 percent of the EPA Primary Standard.

All water used during 1982 for Plant process operations and sanitary purposes was treated and evaporated and/or reused for cooling tower make-up, steam plant use, or for spray irrigation within the Plant boundaries.

Surface runoff from precipitation was collected by surface water control ponds. After monitoring, this water was discharged offsite. Those discharges were monitored for compliance with an EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.<sup>7</sup> During 1982, the Rocky Flats Plant met all requirements of the permit.

Routine water monitoring was conducted for two downstream reservoirs and for drinking water in nine communities. The average radioactivity con-

centrations for plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium measured at these locations were found to be 1.6 percent or less of the applicable RCG's.<sup>2,4</sup> In addition, the sum of the average concentrations for plutonium and americium in all community drinking water samples was 0.14 percent or less of the State of Colorado regulations for alpha-emitting radionuclides<sup>8</sup> and the EPA National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations.<sup>9</sup> Average concentrations of tritium in community drinking water samples were all within the local background range and were 2.0 percent or less of the applicable State of Colorado and EPA drinking water standards.<sup>8,9</sup>

Groundwater monitoring was conducted four times during 1982 at 56 sampling locations. Tritium and uranium have been detected at low concentrations in monitoring wells close to solar evaporation ponds that have been used to store process wastewater. The concentrations of plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium at all locations were well below the DOE and Colorado Department of Health RCG's for surface water discharged to uncontrolled areas.<sup>2,4</sup>

Biocides and herbicides are used for pest and weed control at the Rocky Flats Plant. Water samples collected during the period of application indicated concentrations of the chemicals well below recommended concentration limits. Also, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) monitoring showed no detectable concentrations above a detection limit of approximately one part per billion.

The 1982 soil sampling program was conducted as part of a long-range program designed to study possible migration of plutonium in soil and to provide data for comparison with the EPA proposed guidance on transuranium elements in the environment.<sup>5</sup> Surface and core samples collected at one onsite location to support the plutonium migration in soil study, showed values that are not significantly different from those reported in 1980 and 1981. To provide data for comparison with the EPA proposed guidance on transuranium elements in the environment, two onsite locations were sampled. The median values from the two sites were 1.5 percent and 9.5 percent of the EPA proposed guideline for plutonium in soil.<sup>5</sup>

The 1982 environmental measurement of external penetrating gamma radiation, using thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD's), showed that the annual dose equivalents onsite, at the Plant perimeter, and at community locations, were within the range of regional background.

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from Plant operations, were calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the DOE property boundaries and in surrounding communities. Dose assessment for 1982 was conducted for the DOE property (site) boundary, nearby communities, and to a distance of 80 kilometers (50 miles). At the Plant boundary, the maximum 70-yr dose commitment to an individual was calculated to be  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  Sv\* ( $6 \times 10^{-5}$  rem) to the total body and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  rem) to the bone. By comparison, annual doses to the body and bone from natural radiation in the Denver area are  $1.50 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $1.68 \times 10^{-3}$  Sv ( $1.5 \times 10^{-1}$  and  $1.68 \times 10^{-1}$  rem/yr), respectively.<sup>10</sup> The 70-year dose commitments of  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Sv ( $6 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  rem) represent less than 0.02 percent and less than 0.04 percent, respectively, of the DOE radiation protection standards.<sup>2</sup>

For community locations, the maximum radiation dose resulted in a 70-yr dose commitment of  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  Sv ( $2 \times 10^{-6}$  rem) to the total body and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  rem) to the bone. This represents less than 0.002 percent and less than 0.1 percent, respectively, of the annual DOE standards<sup>2</sup> based on average dose for a suitable sample of the exposed population. These values include contribution from fallout caused by atmospheric weapons testing. The 70-yr total body dose commitment to the population living within 80 kilometers (50 miles) of the Plant was based on the maximum community dose estimates. For the maximum community, the specific organ doses were all less than the value specified by DOE as *de minimis* (inconsequential). The dose commitment for all individuals to a distance of 80 kilometers, was therefore, considered to be *de minimis*.

\* 1 Sv (Sievert) =  $1 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$  = 100 rem.

#### IV. MONITORING DATA: COLLECTION, ANALYSES, AND EVALUATION

This section describes the environmental monitoring program for 1982, results of sample analyses, and evaluation of the data with regard to applicable guides and standards. The reader is directed to the appendixes at the end of this report for detailed information concerning applicable guides and standards, quality control, analytical procedures, detection limits, error term propagation, and reporting of minimum detectable concentrations. Appendix D includes a discussion of the methodology used for reporting measurements that were at or below the minimum detectable concentrations (MDC). This appendix also discusses the use of the less-than sign (<) and defines the use of plus or minus ( $\pm$ ) error terms in the data reported.

##### A. Airborne Effluent Monitoring

Production and research facilities at Rocky Flats are equipped with 43 ventilation exhaust systems. Particulates generated by production and research activities are entrained by exhaust air streams. These particulate materials are removed from the air stream in each exhaust system by High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters. Residual particulates in each of these systems are continuously sampled downstream from the final stage of the HEPA filters. For immediate detection of abnormal conditions, ventilation systems that service areas containing plutonium are equipped with selective alpha air monitors. These monitors are sensitive to specific radionuclides, including plutonium 239 and 240, and are tested and calibrated routinely to maintain sensitivity. The monitors alarm automatically if out-of-tolerance conditions are experienced. No such conditions occurred during 1982.

Three times each week, continuous particulate samples are removed from each exhaust system and are radiometrically analyzed for long-lived alpha emitters. The presence of long-lived alpha emitters is indicative of the effluent quality and the overall performance of the filtration systems. If the total long-lived alpha concentration for an effluent sample exceeds the Plant action guide



TABLE 2. Radioisotopes in Airborne Effluents *CT82*

Sample Period	Number of Analyses	Plutonium <sup>a</sup>		Number of Analyses	Uranium <sup>b</sup>		Number of Analyses	Tritium	
		Total Discharge ( $\mu\text{Ci}$ )	$C_{\text{max}}^c$ ( $\times 10^{-12}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )		Total Discharge ( $\mu\text{Ci}$ )	$C_{\text{max}}^c$ ( $\times 10^{-12}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )		Total Discharge ( $\text{Ci}$ )	$C_{\text{max}}^c$ ( $\times 10^{-12}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )
January	35	0.71	$0.0037 \pm 0.0006$	43	1.37	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	299	0.015	$260 \pm 10$
February	38	0.85	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	46	1.46	$0.0065 \pm 0.0002$	276	0.006	$270 \pm 50$
March	35	2.35	$0.0068 \pm 0.0004$	43	2.19	$0.0076 \pm 0.0020$	322	0.019	$260 \pm 87$
April	35	1.71	$0.0062 \pm 0.0005$	43	4.03	$0.018 \pm 0.004$	295	0.016	$180 \pm 90$
May <i>FY82</i>	35	1.02	$0.0046 \pm 0.0004$	43	2.61	$0.016 \pm 0.004$	321	0.019	$180 \pm 90$
June	36	2.18	$0.044 \pm 0.001$	45	2.85	$0.806 \pm 0.022$	276	0.018	$180 \pm 90$
July	37	0.77	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	46	2.91	$0.106 \pm 0.030$	299	0.014	$180 \pm 90$
August	35	0.84	$0.0047 \pm 0.0003$	44	1.88	$0.097 \pm 0.036$	299	0.015 <sup>d</sup>	$120 \pm 60$
September	38	4.53	$0.054 \pm 0.001$	46	2.88	$0.0079 \pm 0.0029$	298	0.008	$240 \pm 60$
October <i>FY</i>	39	1.73	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	48	1.36	$0.019 \pm 0.014$	299	0.029	$260 \pm 52$
November <i>83</i>	39	1.31	$0.030 \pm 0.003$	47	2.93	$0.438 \pm 0.091$	295	0.040	$240 \pm 80$
December	40	1.89	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	57	4.45	$1.483 \pm 0.329$	276	0.033	$230 \pm 46$
Summary	442	19.89	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	551	30.92	$1.483 \pm 0.329$	3555	0.232	$270 \pm 50$

a. Radiochemically determined as plutonium 239, 240.

b. Radiochemically determined as uranium 233, 234, and 238.

c.  $C_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum measured concentration.

d. This value is an estimated discharge because several analyses did not meet quality acceptance criteria. The estimate is based on the average total discharge for the previous six months.

value of  $7.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Bq}/\text{m}^3$  ( $0.020 \times 10^{-12} \mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ) a followup investigation is conducted to determine the cause and to establish the need for corrective action.

At the end of each month, samples from each ventilation system are composited into a single sample for specific chemical analysis. An aliquot of each of the dissolved composite-samples, from the 43 Plant exhaust systems, is analyzed for beryllium particulates using a flameless atomic absorption spectrometry technique.<sup>11</sup> The remainder of the dissolved sample undergoes chemical separation and subsequent alpha spectral analysis to quantify specific alpha-emitting radionuclides. Analyses for uranium isotopes are conducted on the composite samples from each of the 43 exhaust systems. Thirty-five of the ventilation exhaust systems are located in buildings that contain plutonium. Particulate samples from these 35 systems are also analyzed for specific isotopes of plutonium.

Continuous sampling for tritium is conducted in 23 ventilation exhaust systems. A bubbler-type

sampler is used to collect samples three times each week. Tritium concentrations in the sample are measured on a liquid scintillation photomultiplier.

Table 2 presents the quantitative data for radioisotopes in airborne effluents during 1982. Tritium values include contributions from background radioactivity.

During 1982 the total quantity of plutonium discharged to the atmosphere from 35 ventilation exhaust systems was less than  $7.36 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}$  ( $19.89 \mu\text{Ci}$ ). The total discharge of uranium from 43 exhaust systems was less than  $1.14 \times 10^6 \text{ Bq}$  ( $30.92 \mu\text{Ci}$ ). The maximum uranium concentration of  $5.487 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Bq}/\text{m}^3$  ( $1.483 \times 10^{-12} \mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ) was measured during a 2-day period in December from an exhaust system that discharges small volumes of air, compared to most other systems at the plant. The quantity of uranium from this discharge [ $\sim 4.940 \times 10^4 \text{ Bq}$  ( $1.335 \mu\text{Ci}$ )] presented no adverse environmental impact. The tritium discharged from 23 ventilation systems was

TABLE 3. Beryllium in Airborne Effluents

Sample Period	Number of Analyses	Total Discharge <sup>a</sup> (g)	C <sub>max</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
January	43	0.124	0.0008
February	46	0.051	0.0008
March	43	0.006	0.0007
April	43	0.014	0.0002
May	43	0.005	0.00004
June	45	0.049	0.0030
July	46	0.017	0.0060
August	44	-0.015	0.0001
September	46	0.014	0.0001
October	48	-0.051	0.00007
November	47	-0.049	0.0058
December	57	-0.026	0.0033
Summary	551	0.139	0.0060

a. The beryllium stationary-source emission-standard is no more than 10 grams of beryllium over a 24-hour period under the provision in subpart C of 40 CFR 61.32(a).<sup>12</sup>

$8.584 \times 10^9$  Bq (0.232 Ci). No adverse environmental impact resulted from the atmospheric discharge of these quantities of radioactive materials.

Table 3 presents the beryllium airborne effluent data for 1982. The total quantity of beryllium discharged from the 43 ventilation exhaust systems was approximately 0.139 g.

## B. Radioactive Ambient Air Monitoring

High-volume ambient air samplers are located on the Rocky Flats Plant site, at the Plant perimeter [a distance of approximately 3 to 6 km (2 to 4 mi) from the Plant center], and in surrounding communities. These Rocky Flats-designed air samplers operate continuously at a volume flow rate of approximately 19 l/sec (40 ft<sup>3</sup>/min), collecting particulates on 20- × 25-cm (8- × 10-in.) Delbag Microsorb® filter media. The effectiveness of the high-volume sampler and the filter media has been evaluated by Dr. James B. Wedding from Colorado State University.<sup>13</sup> According to Wedding, the Rocky Flats design compared favorably to the EPA-specified standard Hi-Volume Sampler for a

variety of simulated field conditions. The filter media was found to be greater than 99.9 percent efficient for the relevant particle sizes under conditions typically encountered in routine ambient air sampling.

Airborne particulates in ambient air are sampled continuously at 23 locations within and adjacent to the Rocky Flats exclusion area (Figure 6). The sample filters are collected weekly and analyzed for total long-lived alpha (TLLα). If TLLα concentration for an ambient air sample exceeds the Plant guide value [ $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> ( $10 \times 10^{-15}$  μCi/ml)] specific plutonium analysis is performed. During 1982, all TLLα concentrations were less than the guide value.

On a routine basis, filters from 5 of the 23 samplers are composited and analyzed biweekly for plutonium. Table 4 contains the average concentrations of plutonium in ambient air at these five onsite stations during 1982. The calculated value for the average concentration at each location is referred to as the "point estimate." For each plutonium concentration point estimate, a Lower Confidence Limit (LCL) and an Upper Confidence Limit (UCL), which define a 95 percent confidence interval, have been included in the table. The derivation of the point estimates, the LCL, and the UCL is discussed in Appendix E. The average concentrations of plutonium in ambient air at the five onsite stations during 1982 ranged from  $3.77 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1.77 \times 10^{-5}$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> ( $0.102 \times 10^{-15}$  to  $0.478 \times 10^{-15}$  μCi/ml). These concentrations were less than 0.80 percent of the RCG<sub>a</sub> for soluble plutonium in ambient air available to an individual in the general population.<sup>2,4</sup>

Monitoring for tritium in ambient-air water vapor is conducted at onsite locations S-4, S-5, and S-16 (Figure 6). Samples are collected and analyzed weekly. The tritium sampler utilizes a 1 l per min air pump that operates continuously. The sample is collected in a Pyrex tube filled with silica gel, which collects moisture from the ambient air. The equipment is contained in an aluminum case that is insulated, weathertight, and lockable. Temperature inside the case is controlled by a small heater and fan that maintains a temperature between 4.44 and 32.2 °C (40 and 90 °F). Table 5 presents the




FIGURE 6. Location of Onsite and Plant Perimeter Ambient Air Samplers  
(Portions of figure are not to scale.)

TABLE 4. Plutonium 239 and 240 Concentrations in Onsite Ambient Air at Selected Locations<sup>a</sup>

Station	Number of Analyses	Volume (× 1000 m <sup>3</sup> )	Concentration <sup>b</sup> (× 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mℓ)									Percent of RCG <sub>a</sub> <sup>f</sup>
			C <sub>min</sub> <sup>c</sup> Point			C <sub>max</sub> <sup>c</sup> Point			C <sub>avg</sub> <sup>c</sup> Point			
			LCL <sup>d</sup>	Estimate	UCL <sup>e</sup>	LCL	Estimate	UCL	LCL	Estimate	UCL	
S-5	25	369	0.005	0.010	0.015	0.270	0.290	0.313	0.099	0.102	0.106	0.17
S-6	24	412	0.015	0.020	0.026	0.591	0.643	0.699	0.112	0.117	0.121	0.20
S-7	25	355	0.057	0.069	0.082	0.514	0.559	0.610	0.199	0.206	0.212	0.34
S-8	25	396	0.013	0.020	0.028	0.757	0.822	0.894	0.207	0.316	0.325	0.53
S-9	25	319	0.026	0.036	0.047	1.351	1.463	1.592	0.463	0.478	0.494	0.80

a. These selected air-sampling locations are in the proximity of areas where potential for airborne radioactivity exists (see Figure 6).

b. Two-week composites of station concentrations.

c.  $C_{\min}$  = Minimum measured concentration;  $C_{\max}$  = Maximum measured concentration;  $C_{\text{avg}}$  = Average measured concentration.

d. LCL = Lower Confidence Limit.

e. UCL = Upper Confidence Limit.

f. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>a</sub>) for soluble plutonium in ambient air available to an individual in the general population is  $60 \times 10^{-15} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ .

TABLE 5. Tritium Activity Concentrations in Onsite Ambient Air Water Vapor

Station	Number of Analyses	Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ )			Percent of RCG <sub>w</sub> <sup>b</sup>
		$C_{\min}$	$C_{\max}$	$C_{\text{avg}}^a$	
S-4	49	$-500 \pm 250$	$950 \pm 300$	$<100 \pm 300$	$<0.003$
S-5	46	$-300 \pm 550$	$850 \pm 250$	$<200 \pm 300$	$<0.007$
S-16	48	$-100 \pm 250$	$750 \pm 250$	$<200 \pm 300$	$<0.007$

a. The average tritium concentrations are less than 2.0 percent of the EPA and State of Colorado primary drinking water limits of  $20,000 \times 10^{-9} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ .

b. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>w</sub>) for tritium in water available to an individual in the general population is  $3,000,000 \times 10^{-9} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ .

average concentrations of tritium in ambient air water vapor at these three onsite stations during 1982. The maximum average concentration of tritium in ambient air at the three onsite stations during 1982 was less than  $7.4 \text{ Bq}/\ell$  ( $200 \times 10^{-9} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ). This concentration was less than 0.007 percent of the RCG<sub>w</sub> for tritium in water released to uncontrolled areas.<sup>2,4</sup>

Samples of airborne particulates are collected on filters by high-volume air samplers at 14 locations along or near the Plant perimeter. These perimeter

samplers are located between 3 and 6 km (2 and 4 mi) from the Plant center. (See Figure 6). The samplers are numbered S-31 through S-44. Samples from each location are collected weekly, composited by location, and analyzed for a 4-week period for plutonium. Table 6 presents the average concentrations of plutonium radioactivity in airborne particulates at Stations S-31 through S-44 during 1982. The average concentration of plutonium in ambient air at these locations during 1982 was  $1.85 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Bq}/\text{m}^3$  ( $0.005 \times 10^{-15} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ). This concentration was 0.03 percent of the soluble plutonium RCG<sub>a</sub> for the general population.<sup>2, 4</sup>

TABLE 6. Plutonium 239 and 240 Activity Concentrations in Perimeter Ambient Air

Station	Number of Analyses	Volume (× 1000 m³)	Concentration (× 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/m²)									Percent <sup>a</sup> of RCG <sub>a</sub>
			C <sub>min</sub> Point			C <sub>max</sub> Point			C <sub>avg</sub> Point			
			LCL	Estimate	UCL	LCL	Estimate	UCL	LCL	Estimate	UCL	
S-31	11	379	0	0.001	0.003	0.008	0.011	0.015	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
S-32	12	350	-0.002	0	0.003	0.006	0.008	0.011	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-33	12	389	-0.002	0	0.003	0.033	0.042	0.050	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.04
S-34	12	414	-0.003	0	0.003	0.006	0.009	0.012	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-35	12	350	0	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.011	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-36	12	390	-0.003	0	0.003	0.006	0.010	0.013	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-37	12	353	0	0.002	0.004	0.017	0.022	0.027	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.05
S-38	12	382	0	0.002	0.003	0.009	0.012	0.016	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
S-39	12	404	0	0.001	0.002	0.006	0.009	0.013	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-40	12	396	-0.005	-0.002	0.001	0.005	0.007	0.010	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
S-41	12	373	-0.003	0	0.003	0.008	0.012	0.016	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
S-42	12	329	-0.002	0.002	0.006	0.007	0.011	0.015	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.03
S-43	12	339	-0.004	0	0.004	0.010	0.016	0.022	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.03
S-44	12	372	-0.001	0	0.002	0.009	0.012	0.016	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
Summary Average Concentration	168	—	—	-0.002	—	—	0.042	—	—	—	—	—
		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.005	—	0.03

a. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>a</sub>) for soluble plutonium in ambient air available to the general population is  $20 \times 10^{-15} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ .

Samples of airborne particulates are also collected at 12 locations in or near communities in the vicinity of the Rocky Flats Plant. These locations, shown in Figure 7, are Boulder, Broomfield, Denver, Golden, Jeffco Airport, Lafayette, Leyden, Marshall, Superior, Wagner, Walnut Creek, and Westminster. Sample filters are collected weekly, composited by location, and analyzed for a 4-week period for plutonium radioactivity. Table 7 presents the average concentrations of plutonium in airborne particulates at the community stations during 1982. The average concentration of plutonium in ambient air at the community stations was  $2.22 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Bq}/\text{m}^3$  ( $0.006 \times 10^{-15} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ). This value is 0.03 percent of the soluble plutonium RCG<sub>a</sub> for the general population.<sup>2,4</sup>

### C. Nonradioactive Ambient Air Monitoring

During 1982, monitoring of ambient air for total suspended particulates (TSP), ozone, sulfur

dioxide, and lead was conducted, utilizing instrumentation in a self-contained van equipped for mobile ambient air monitoring (MAAM). These four parameters are criteria pollutants regulated by the EPA and the State of Colorado through the Clean Air Act of 1970 which includes the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), and Colorado Air Quality Control Commission Ambient Air Standards. Table 8 identifies the detection methods and operating ranges of the MAAM monitoring analyzers with corresponding compliance standards. During 1982, the van remained stationary at a location near the east entrance to the Plant. This is an open area near a traffic zone and is generally downwind from Plant buildings. Ambient air data were collected over the entire year, with the exception of lead data collection, which began the fourth quarter of 1982. These data are shown in Table 9.

Total Suspended Particulate measurements and lead measurements were conducted using the EPA




FIGURE 7. Location of Community Ambient Air Samplers

Reference Hi-Vol method. The sampler is located on top of the MAAM van and is operated on the EPA once-every-sixth day sampling schedule. The highest TSP value recorded (a 24-hour sample) was  $99 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , which is 38 percent of the primary 24-hour standard of  $260 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . The calculated annual geometric mean value for TSP was  $36 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , which is 48 percent of the annual Geometric Primary Standard of  $75 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . This number corresponds with mean values reported by the Colorado Department of Health. The CDH routinely performs TSP measurements at the Plant boundary. Historically, these measurements have shown annual average particulate levels ranging from about 30 to  $66 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , which are well below

the NAAQS. The quarterly average lead concentration for the last quarter of 1982 was  $0.0895 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . This value is 5.7 percent of the primary standard of  $1.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

The Chemiluminescent  $\text{O}_3$  analyzer was calibrated by use of a Primary UV Photometer Standard, with traceability to an EPA Primary UV Photometer. A minimum quarterly calibration schedule was conducted, with increased frequency implemented as needed, based on bi-weekly performance of zero and span checks. A total of 7,555 1-hour ozone samples was collected, with the highest 1-hour value being 0.155 ppm, which is 129 percent of the

TABLE 7. Plutonium 239 and 240 Activity Concentrations in Community Ambient Air

Station	Number of Analyses	Volume (× 1000 m <sup>3</sup> )	Concentration (× 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/ml)									Percent <sup>a</sup> of RCG <sub>a</sub>
			C <sub>min</sub> Point			C <sub>max</sub> Point			C <sub>avg</sub> Point			
			LCL	Estimate	UCL	LCL	Estimate	UCL	LCL	Estimate	UCL	
Marshall	10	331	-0.006	-0.002	0.002	0.007	0.011	0.015	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
Jeffco Airport	10	328	-0.002	0	0.002	0.009	0.014	0.019	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
Superior	12	351	-0.004	0	0.004	0.006	0.009	0.012	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
Boulder	12	402	-0.002	0.001	0.004	0.008	0.012	0.016	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.02
Lafayette	12	410	0	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.011	0.014	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.03
Broomfield	12	370	-0.004	-0.001	0.002	0.122	0.137	0.154	0.017	0.018	0.019	0.09
Walnut Creek	10	331	-0.003	0	0.003	0.012	0.018	0.024	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.03
Wagner	11	359	-0.002	0.001	0.004	0.006	0.008	0.011	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.03
Leyden	12	404	-0.001	0	0.002	0.005	0.008	0.011	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.02
Westminster	12	353	-0.001	0	0.001	0.009	0.013	0.017	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
Denver	12	382	-0.003	0	0.002	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
Golden	12	394	-0.003	0	0.002	0.005	0.007	0.010	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.02
Summary	108	—	—	-0.002	—	—	0.137	—	—	—	—	—
Average Concentration		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.006	—	0.03

a. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>a</sub>) for soluble plutonium in ambient air available to the general population is  $20 \times 10^{-15} \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ .

TABLE 8. Mobile Ambient Air Monitoring (MAAM) Van Detection Methods and National Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Total Suspended Particulates, Ozone, Sulfur Dioxide, and Lead

Parameter	Detection Methods and Analyzer Ranges	NAAQS Averaging Time	Concentration
Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)	Reference Method (Hi Volume) 24-Hour Sampling (6th Day Scheduling)	Annual Geometric Mean:	
		Primary <sup>a</sup>	75 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
		Secondary <sup>b</sup>	60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
		24-Hour Primary <sup>a, c</sup> Secondary <sup>b, c</sup>	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ 150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Ozone ( $\text{O}_3$ )	Beckman Model 950 Chemiluminescent 0-0.5 ppm	1-Hour Primary <sup>a, d</sup>	0.120 ppm
Sulfur Dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ )	ThermoElectron Model 43 Pulsed Fluorescence 0-0.5 ppm	Annual Arithmetic Mean:	
		Primary <sup>a</sup>	0.030 ppm
		24-Hour Primary <sup>a, c</sup>	0.140 ppm
		3-Hour Secondary <sup>b, c</sup>	0.500 ppm
Lead	Reference Method (Hi Volume) 24-Hour Sampling (Atomic Absorption Analysis)	Calendar Quarter Primary <sup>a</sup>	1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

- a. Primary NAAQS are intended to protect public health.  
b. Secondary NAAQS are intended to protect public welfare.  
c. Not to be exceeded more than once per year.  
d. Statistically estimated number of days with concentrations in excess of the standard is not to be more than 1.0 per year.



TABLE 9. Onsite MAAM Van Ambient Air Quality Data  
(Nonradioactive)

<u>Total Suspended Particulates (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</u>	
Total Number of Samples	62
Geometric Mean	36
Observed 24-Hour Maximum	99
Second Highest Maximum	89
Lowest Observed Value	12
<u>Ozone (ppm)</u>	
Number of Observations, Hourly	7,555
Arithmetic Mean	0.045
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration	0.155
Second Highest 1-Hour Concentration	0.150
Minimum Observation, Hourly	0.002
<u>Sulfur Dioxide (ppm)</u>	
Number of Observations, Hourly	6,675
Arithmetic Mean, Annual	0.004
3-Hour Average, Highest	0.020
24-Hour Average, Highest	0.019
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration	0.040
<u>Airborne Lead (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</u>	
Total Number of Samples (4th Quarter)	4
Quarterly Average	0.0895

Primary One-Hour Standard of 0.120 ppm. This value is consistent with levels seen in the general Denver metropolitan area. Sulfur dioxide sampling was conducted using a continuously operating pulsed fluorescence type analyzer, calibrated with a temperature-controlled permeation tube-type calibration system. The permeation tube and associated flow rates have calibrations traceable to standards set by the National Bureau of Standards. The highest 1-hour  $\text{SO}_2$  value recorded at the Plant was 0.040 ppm and the highest observed 3-hour average value was 0.020 ppm, which is 4 percent of the EPA 3-hour standard of 0.500 ppm. The calculated annual arithmetic mean value of 0.004 ppm is 13 percent of the EPA annual mean standard of 0.030 ppm. The highest observed 24-hour average for  $\text{SO}_2$  was 0.019 ppm, which is 14 percent of the EPA 24-hour standard of 0.140 ppm. Both  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  data were assessed to  $\pm 15$  percent accuracy, based on routine precision and operational span checks.

#### D. Waterborne Effluent Monitoring

North Walnut Creek receives storm water runoff from the north side of the Plant site. (See Figure 3.) Holding Pond A-3 on North Walnut Creek is used to impound this surface runoff for analysis prior to discharge. A second control point, holding Pond A-4, is located further downstream.

Ponds A-1 and A-2 are isolated from North Walnut Creek. In the past, these ponds have been used for storage and evaporation of laundry water. This practice was discontinued during 1980. Disposition of Pond A-1 and A-2 water is through natural evaporation and is enhanced by spraying water through fog nozzles over the surface of the ponds. Excess water that does not evaporate is then re-collected by the ponds. Typically, the plutonium concentration in this water averages less than 0.2 Bq/l ( $5 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$ ).

South Walnut Creek receives storm water runoff from the central portion of the Plant. This water is diverted through a culvert system to Pond B-4 and then to Pond B-5 where the water is impounded for analysis prior to controlled offsite discharge.

In the past, treated sanitary wastewater was also discharged to South Walnut Creek. This practice was discontinued in 1979. During 1981, and 1982, some treated sanitary wastewater was recycled through the Plant Reverse Osmosis (RO) Facility for further treatment and was reused in Plant cooling towers. Excess water that could not be recycled was discharged directly to Pond B-3 or pumped into the RO holding ponds and was spray-irrigated onto Rocky Flats soil. Ponds B-1 and B-2, also located in the central drainage, are reserved as backup control ponds. These ponds can be used to retain either surface water runoff or treated sanitary wastewater of questionable quality.

Surface runoff water from the south side of the Plant is collected in an interceptor ditch and flows to surface water control Pond C-2, where the water is impounded and analyzed before discharge.

TABLE 10. Annual Average Concentrations of Chemical and Biological Constituents in Liquid Effluents<sup>a</sup>

Parameter	Number of Analyses	C <sub>min</sub>	C <sub>max</sub>	C <sub>avg</sub>
Discharge 001 <sup>b</sup>				
During 1982, no discharges were made to offsite waters.				
Discharge 002 <sup>b</sup>				
pH, SU <sup>c</sup>	12	7.0	8.4	—
Nitrate as N, mg/l	12	0.4	6.8	4.2
Discharge 003 <sup>b</sup>				
During 1982, no discharges were made to offsite waters.				
Discharge 004 <sup>b</sup>				
During 1982, no discharges were made to offsite waters.				
Discharge 005 <sup>b</sup>				
pH, SU	15	6.9	8.9	—
Nitrate as N, mg/l	15	<0.2	6.0	<2.7
Nonvolatile Suspended Solids, mg/l	15	2.0	42.0	8.7
Discharge 006 <sup>b</sup>				
pH, SU	21	6.7	9.0	—
Nitrate as N, mg/l	21	<0.2	2.3	<0.9
Nonvolatile Suspended Solids, mg/l	21	<1.0	93.0	<13.4
Discharge 007 <sup>b</sup>				
pH, SU	4	6.5	8.1	—
Nitrate as N, mg/l	4	<0.2	2.1	<0.8
Nonvolatile Suspended Solids, mg/l	4	3.0	50.0	16.2

a. Examples of NPDES Permit limitations are presented in Table A-1.

b. The Environmental Protection Agency NPDES discharge permit defines the discharge locations as follows:

- 001 - Pond B-3
- 002 - Pond A-3
- 003 - Reverse Osmosis Pilot Plant
- 004 - Reverse Osmosis Plant
- 005 - Pond A-4
- 006 - Pond B-5
- 007 - Pond C-2

c. SU - Standard Units

to offsite receiving waters. Woman Creek, also in the south drainage, is isolated from this system. Pond C-1 is used as a monitoring point for Woman Creek.

Discharges from the Rocky Flats Plant are monitored for compliance with appropriate Colorado Department of Health standards and EPA NPDES permit limitations.<sup>7</sup> Annual average concentrations of chemical and biological constituents of liquid effluent samples collected from Ponds A-3, A-4,

B-5, and C-2 during 1982 are presented in Table 10. The data are indicative of overall water quality from these ponds.

On May 20, 1981, the original Plant NPDES permit expired and was replaced by a new NPDES permit with seven discharge locations - 001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 007. The discharge locations are defined in Table 10. The NPDES permit places monitoring and reporting requirements and limitations on daily concentrations and monthly

average concentrations for specific parameters. There were no violations of the NPDES permit during 1982.

Prior to discharge from Ponds A-4, B-5, and C-2, the water is sampled and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, gamma activity, pH, nitrate as N, and nonvolatile suspended solids. The water will not be discharged if the Plant action level for any parameter is exceeded.

During planned discharges from Ponds A-4, B-5, and C-2 in 1982, the water was sampled continuously. The samples are analyzed for plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Water is also sampled continuously and collected daily from the outfall of Pond C-1 and collected from the Walnut Creek at Indiana Street sampling station when there is sufficient flow. Daily samples were composited into weekly samples for plutonium, uranium, and americium analyses. Once each week, daily samples at Pond C-1 and Walnut Creek at Indiana Street are analyzed for tritium. Concentrations of plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium in water samples from the outfalls of Ponds A-4, B-5, C-1, C-2, and from Walnut Creek at Indiana Street are presented in Tables 11 and 12. All plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium concentrations at these locations were 2.5 percent or less of the applicable Radioactivity Concentration Guides (RCG<sub>w</sub>).<sup>2,4</sup>

The Rocky Flats Plant water supply was taken from two sources during the year—Ralston Reservoir and South Boulder Diversion Canal. Ralston Reservoir is located near the Schwartzwald uranium mine and the water usually contains more uranium radioactivity than does water from the South Boulder Diversion Canal, which flows from the Moffat Tunnel. Throughout the year, weekly uranium analyses were performed on samples of Rocky Flats raw and treated water. The uranium concentrations measured during 1982 are presented in Table 13. Uranium concentrations measured during 1982 in raw and treated water averaged 0.14 and 0.10 Bq/l ( $3.7 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $2.7 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ ), respectively. This water was used throughout the Plant, discharged to the sanitary sewage system, and ultimately was spray-irrigated from Pond B-3 and the RO holding ponds or reused in Plant cooling towers.

Biocides and herbicides are used for pest and weed control on the Rocky Flats Plant site, and water samples are collected from Ponds B-4 and C-1 during application. Analytical results for 2,4-D and Bromacil have consistently been less than 2 parts per billion. The recommended concentration limit is 100 parts per billion.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's) are stored at the Rocky Flats Plant, and are present in some transformer oils, each in accordance with EPA guidance. Analytical results from downstream waters during 1982 showed no detectable concentrations of PCB's above a detectable concentration of approximately 1 part per billion.

### E. Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater occurs in the Rocky Flats alluvium, Arapahoe Formation, and the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer. (See Figure 8.) The Rocky Flats alluvium consists primarily of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. The Laramie Formation is divisible into two units—a lower sandstone unit and an upper shale unit. The lower sandstone unit, and the Fox Hills sandstone are collectively referred to as the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer.

During 1982, samples from monitoring wells shown in Figure 9 were analyzed to determine significant movement of chemical or radioactive materials of possible Plant origin into water-bearing strata underlying the site.

Five of the monitoring wells range from 43 to 96 meters (140 to 320 feet) in depth. These monitoring wells, numbered 1-66, 2-66, 3-66, 21-74, and 22-74, are located respectively; west of the west security fence, northeast of the solar ponds, east of the solar ponds, near the south security fence and east of the east security fence. These wells provide information concerning water quality in gravel and bedrock formations.

Seven new monitoring wells were drilled in 1982. Two of these wells, 1-82 and 2-82, are 6 m (20 feet) and 3 m (10 feet) deep, respectively, and were drilled to monitor water near a drainage area. The other new monitoring wells, 3-82, 4-82, 5-82, 6-82, and 7-82, are 10 m (30 feet) deep and were drilled

TABLE 11. Plutonium, Uranium, and Americium Activity Concentrations at the Rocky Flats Plant

Location	Number of Analyses	$C_{\min}$	$C_{\max}$	$C_{\text{avg}}$	Percent of $RCG_w$
<u>Plutonium Concentration (<math>\times 10^{-9}</math> <math>\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}</math>)<sup>a</sup></u>					
Pond A-4	16	$-0.01 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	0.001
Pond B-5	19	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.002
Pond C-1	50	$-0.005 \pm 0.006$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$	$0.016 \pm 0.002$	0.001
Pond C-2	3	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	0.003
Walnut Creek at Indiana Street	31	$-0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.07 \pm 0.04$	$0.013 \pm 0.003$	<0.001
<u>Uranium Concentration (<math>\times 10^{-9}</math> <math>\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}</math>)<sup>b</sup></u>					
Pond A-4	16	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.6 \pm 0.3$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	0.9
Pond B-5	19	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$9.4 \pm 0.6$	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	1.8
Pond C-1	50	$0.10 \pm 0.05$	$8.4 \pm 0.8$	$2.00 \pm 0.03$	1.0
Pond C-2	3	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$3.2 \pm 0.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.2$	1.0
Walnut Creek at Indiana Street	31	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$11.1 \pm 0.9$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	2.5
<u>Americium Concentration (<math>\times 10^{-9}</math> <math>\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}</math>)<sup>c</sup></u>					
Pond A-4	16	$-0.03 \pm 0.04$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	0.001
Pond B-5	19	$-0.03 \pm 0.05$	$0.08 \pm 0.05$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	0.002
Pond C-1	50	$-0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.09 \pm 0.09$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	0.002
Pond C-2	3	$-0.04 \pm 0.07$	$0.08 \pm 0.07$	$0.02 \pm 0.04$	0.002
Walnut Creek at Indiana Street	30	$-0.05 \pm 0.05$	$0.08 \pm 0.07$	$0.010 \pm 0.005$	0.001

a. Radiochemically determined as plutonium 239 and 240. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide ( $RCG_w$ ) for soluble plutonium in water available to the general population is  $1667 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

b. Radiochemically determined as uranium 233, 234, and 238. The most restrictive  $RCG_w$  for these uranium isotopes in the soluble form in water available to the general population is  $200 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

c. Radiochemically determined as americium 241. The  $RCG_w$  for soluble americium 241 in water available to the general population is  $1330 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

TABLE 12. Tritium Activity Concentrations at the Rocky Flats Plant

Location	Number of Analyses	<u>Tritium Concentration (<math>\times 10^{-9}</math> <math>\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}</math>)</u>			Percent of $RCG_w$ <sup>a</sup>
		$C_{\min}$	$C_{\max}$	$C_{\text{avg}}$	
Pond A-4	17	$-200 \pm 800$	$900 \pm 700$	$300 \pm 100$	0.03
Pond B-5	21	$-700 \pm 500$	$1000 \pm 600$	$300 \pm 100$	0.03
Pond C-1	50	$-400 \pm 500$	$1200 \pm 500$	$400 \pm 100$	0.04
Pond C-2	4	$100 \pm 600$	$500 \pm 600$	$300 \pm 300$	0.03
Walnut Creek at Indiana Street	34	$-400 \pm 600$	$900 \pm 500$	$400 \pm 100$	0.04

a. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide ( $RCG_w$ ) for tritium in water available to the general population is  $1,000,000 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .


TABLE 13. Uranium Activity Concentrations in Rocky Flats Raw and Treated Water


Location	Number of Analyses	$C_{min}^a$	$C_{max}^a$	$C_{avg}^a$
<u>Raw Water</u>				
Ralston Reservoir <sup>b</sup>	10	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	$13.2 \pm 0.8$	$8.0 \pm 0.2$
South Boulder Diversion Canal <sup>b</sup>	23	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	$1.80 \pm 0.04$
Summary	33	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$13.2 \pm 0.8$	$3.65 \pm 0.02$
<u>Treated Water</u>				
Ralston Reservoir <sup>b</sup>	10	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$9.7 \pm 0.4$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$
South Boulder Diversion Canal <sup>b</sup>	17	$-0.22 \pm 0.07$	$3.9 \pm 0.4$	$1.5 \pm 0.01$
Summary	27	$-0.22 \pm 0.07$	$9.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.74 \pm 0.05$

a. Uranium concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ). Radiochemically determined as uranium 233, 234, and 238.

b. Sampled at the Rocky Flats Water Treatment Plant.

FIGURE 8. Geologic Cross-Section in the Rocky Flats Plant Area





**FIGURE 9. Locations of Groundwater Monitoring Wells at Rocky Flats**

to monitor groundwater near a spray irrigation site.

All remaining wells range from 1 to 30 m (3 to 100 feet) deep and generally are located near three onsite solar evaporation ponds, certain holding ponds, old burial sites, and a spray irrigation site.

Water samples from the monitoring wells were analyzed for plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Tables 14-17 show the depths of the monitoring wells and radioactivity concentrations for water obtained from each monitoring well during 1982. Sampling of the 7 new monitoring wells, drilled in March of 1982, began in May.

Tritium and/or uranium have been detected at low concentrations in monitoring wells close to solar evaporation ponds that have been used to store process wastewater prior to treatment. These ponds are hydrologically upgradient from the monitoring wells and some seepage has occurred. Monitoring at wells that are in downgradient locations indicates that this seepage is localized.

Water from monitoring wells 15-74, 17-74, 18-74, 5-81, and 1-82 had uranium concentrations slightly higher than regional background. Historical data collected since 1975 indicate that the uranium concentrations from wells 15-74, 17-74, and 18-74 are within expected ranges. Since monitoring well 5-81 was drilled in 1981 and monitoring well 1-82 was drilled in 1982, there are not enough data available to determine expected concentrations. The uranium may be natural material and not of Rocky Flats Plant origin. Small pockets of low grade uranium ore are not uncommon in the Arapahoe bedrock formation, which underlies the Plant.

There are no applicable RCG's for groundwater; however, for perspective, the concentrations of plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium in all samples were well below the DOE and Colorado Department of Health RCG's for water discharged to uncontrolled areas.<sup>2, 4</sup>

TABLE 14. Plutonium Concentrations in Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Location Number	Depth (meters)	Plutonium Concentration <sup>a</sup> ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$ )			
		February	May	August	December
1-60	6	-0.031 $\pm$ 0.039	0.169 $\pm$ 0.104	-0.036 $\pm$ 0.034	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.015
2-60	7	0.104 $\pm$ 0.081	0.001 $\pm$ 0.025	0.001 $\pm$ 0.024	0.067 $\pm$ 0.055
3-60	9	0.006 $\pm$ 0.029	0.021 $\pm$ 0.031	0.004 $\pm$ 0.023	0.000 $\pm$ 0.015
4-60	9	0.030 $\pm$ 0.027	0.008 $\pm$ 0.071	-0.454 $\pm$ 0.065	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.022
5-60	9	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-60	9	0.003 $\pm$ 0.023	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.020	0.395 $\pm$ 0.077
1-66	45	0.028 $\pm$ 0.029	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.019	0.001 $\pm$ 0.015
2-66	43	0.005 $\pm$ 0.035	0.008 $\pm$ 0.028	0.001 $\pm$ 0.026	-0.010 $\pm$ 0.013
3-66	47	0.002 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.007 $\pm$ 0.021	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.033	-0.010 $\pm$ 0.019
1-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
2-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
4-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
1-71	9	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.023	Dry	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.021	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.023
2-71	9	Dry	-0.010 $\pm$ 0.022	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.023	0.004 $\pm$ 0.018
3-71	8	0.017 $\pm$ 0.029	0.006 $\pm$ 0.029	0.018 $\pm$ 0.031	-0.029 $\pm$ 0.016
4-71	7	0.007 $\pm$ 0.029	0.015 $\pm$ 0.027	-0.418 $\pm$ 0.046	-0.027 $\pm$ 0.017
5-71	9	Dry	Dry	-0.043 $\pm$ 0.027	Dry
6-71	9	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.024	0.000 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.026 $\pm$ 0.016
1-74	7	0.004 $\pm$ 0.024	0.011 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.021	-0.024 $\pm$ 0.022
3-74	7	Dry	Dry	-0.009 $\pm$ 0.021	-0.030 $\pm$ 0.019
4-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-74	5	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
7-74	15	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.022	0.009 $\pm$ 0.027	0.062 $\pm$ 0.017	-0.034 $\pm$ 0.020
8-74	12	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
9-74	6	0.013 $\pm$ 0.034	0.015 $\pm$ 0.028	0.017 $\pm$ 0.032	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.019
10-74	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
13-74	6	0.006 $\pm$ 0.028	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.024	0.003 $\pm$ 0.023	-0.014 $\pm$ 0.014
14-74	1	Dry	0.000 $\pm$ 0.026	0.020 $\pm$ 0.029	Dry
15-74	6	0.002 $\pm$ 0.027	0.001 $\pm$ 0.023	0.008 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.008 $\pm$ 0.015
16-74	1	Dry	Dry	0.043 $\pm$ 0.038	Dry
17-74	5	-0.031 $\pm$ 0.057	0.020 $\pm$ 0.031	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.022	0.192 $\pm$ 0.045
18-74	2	0.005 $\pm$ 0.028	0.011 $\pm$ 0.026	Dry	0.003 $\pm$ 0.019
21-74	81	0.010 $\pm$ 0.024	0.002 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.009 $\pm$ 0.023	0.000 $\pm$ 0.015
22-74	96	0.023 $\pm$ 0.027	0.021 $\pm$ 0.031	0.016 $\pm$ 0.027	-0.020 $\pm$ 0.020
WS-1	4	0.011 $\pm$ 0.028	0.009 $\pm$ 0.026	-0.030 $\pm$ 0.032	-0.030 $\pm$ 0.020
WS-2	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
WS-3	4	Dry	0.008 $\pm$ 0.026	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.022	-0.028 $\pm$ 0.023
1-81	6	0.014 $\pm$ 0.027	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.007 $\pm$ 0.023	0.001 $\pm$ 0.190
2-81	6	0.004 $\pm$ 0.026	0.011 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.024
3-81	6	0.031 $\pm$ 0.030	0.006 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.018 $\pm$ 0.022	0.008 $\pm$ 0.027
4-81	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-81	6	0.015 $\pm$ 0.026	0.005 $\pm$ 0.024	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.024	0.019 $\pm$ 0.024
6-81	9	-0.008 $\pm$ 0.025	0.018 $\pm$ 0.029	0.004 $\pm$ 0.028	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.015
7-81	9	0.015 $\pm$ 0.028	0.020 $\pm$ 0.028	0.000 $\pm$ 0.026	-0.008 $\pm$ 0.012
8-81	30	-0.041 $\pm$ 0.023	0.005 $\pm$ 0.027	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.024	0.003 $\pm$ 0.044
9-81	9	0.023 $\pm$ 0.030	-0.009 $\pm$ 0.026	-0.012 $\pm$ 0.022	-0.018 $\pm$ 0.017
10-81	9	0.041 $\pm$ 0.032	0.005 $\pm$ 0.027	-0.021 $\pm$ 0.019	-0.051 $\pm$ 0.044
1-82	6	b	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.023	0.036 $\pm$ 0.043	0.002 $\pm$ 0.016
2-82	3	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-82	9	b	0.009 $\pm$ 0.031	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.022	0.023 $\pm$ 0.042
4-82	9	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-82	9	b	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.021	-0.008 $\pm$ 0.025	-0.015 $\pm$ 0.022
6-82	9	b	0.003 $\pm$ 0.023	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.020	Dry
7-82	9	b	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.022	-0.015 $\pm$ 0.018	0.001 $\pm$ 0.015

a. Radiochemically determined as plutonium 239, 240.

b. Drilled in May 1982.



TABLE 15. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Location Number	Depth (meters)	Uranium Concentration <sup>a</sup> ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )			
		February	May	August	December
1-60	6	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	22.4 $\pm$ 2.5	11.5 $\pm$ 1.4	14.1 $\pm$ 2.0
2-60	7	6.4 $\pm$ 0.8	22.0 $\pm$ 7.6	0.6 $\pm$ 0.1	7.9 $\pm$ 0.7
3-60	9	14.3 $\pm$ 1.4	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	1.5 $\pm$ 0.3	10.8 $\pm$ 0.6
4-60	9	44.5 $\pm$ 2.8	0.9 $\pm$ 0.2	17.1 $\pm$ 1.0	31.0 $\pm$ 1.8
5-60	9	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-60	9	3.2 $\pm$ 0.5	2.7 $\pm$ 0.5	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	2.5 $\pm$ 0.3
1-66	45	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1
2-66	43	0.5 $\pm$ 0.0	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	0.1 $\pm$ 0.2
3-66	47	0.7 $\pm$ 0.3	1.3 $\pm$ 0.4	0.6 $\pm$ 0.3	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2
1-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
2-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
4-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
1-71	9	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	Dry	0.3 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1
2-71	9	Dry	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1
3-71	8	4.6 $\pm$ 0.3	0.7 $\pm$ 0.3	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1
4-71	7	8.0 $\pm$ 1.7	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.1 $\pm$ 0.1
5-71	9	Dry	Dry	1.1 $\pm$ 0.3	Dry
6-71	9	24.8 $\pm$ 2.4	24.9 $\pm$ 2.4	25.3 $\pm$ 2.2	28.4 $\pm$ 2.3
1-74	7	3.0 $\pm$ 0.3	7.0 $\pm$ 0.8	2.2 $\pm$ 0.3	3.2 $\pm$ 0.4
3-74	7	Dry	Dry	0.2 $\pm$ 0.0	2.5 $\pm$ 0.4
4-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-74	5	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
7-74	15	1.6 $\pm$ 0.2	2.3 $\pm$ 0.3	1.7 $\pm$ 0.3	3.1 $\pm$ 0.4
8-74	12	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
9-74	6	24.4 $\pm$ 2.3	5.9 $\pm$ 0.7	-0.4 $\pm$ 0.2	22.2 $\pm$ 1.3
10-74	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
13-74	6	4.6 $\pm$ 0.4	5.2 $\pm$ 0.4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	5.8 $\pm$ 0.5
14-74	1	Dry	0.3 $\pm$ 0.2	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	Dry
15-74	6	24.2 $\pm$ 1.1	19.0 $\pm$ 1.4	2.1 $\pm$ 0.2	22.1 $\pm$ 1.2
16-74	1	Dry	Dry	9.7 $\pm$ 0.7	Dry
17-74	5	9.3 $\pm$ 1.9	12.4 $\pm$ 1.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	13.9 $\pm$ 1.0
18-74	2	28.6 $\pm$ 1.9	28.1 $\pm$ 2.2	Dry	19.4 $\pm$ 1.0
21-74	81	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	0.7 $\pm$ 0.3
22-74	96	3.7 $\pm$ 0.4	4.3 $\pm$ 0.5	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	5.4 $\pm$ 0.5
WS-1	4	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1
WS-2	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
WS-3	4	Dry	1.8 $\pm$ 0.2	0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	2.1 $\pm$ 0.3
1-81	6	1.9 $\pm$ 0.2	2.8 $\pm$ 0.4	0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	1.7 $\pm$ 0.3
2-81	6	3.7 $\pm$ 0.3	2.8 $\pm$ 0.3	0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2
3-81	6	7.3 $\pm$ 0.8	3.1 $\pm$ 0.4	1.8 $\pm$ 0.4	4.4 $\pm$ 0.4
4-81	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-81	6	11.9 $\pm$ 0.8	9.7 $\pm$ 0.6	2.9 $\pm$ 0.8	18.0 $\pm$ 1.1
6-81	9	4.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	1.0 $\pm$ 0.2
7-81	9	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1	4.6 $\pm$ 0.4	2.4 $\pm$ 0.3	3.2 $\pm$ 0.3
8-81	30	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1
9-81	9	1.3 $\pm$ 0.2	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1
10-81	9	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.01 $\pm$ 0.09	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1
1-82	6	b	16.2 $\pm$ 1.5	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	25.1 $\pm$ 3.2
2-82	3	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-82	9	b	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1
4-82	9	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-82	9	b	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	-0.2 $\pm$ 0.1
6-82	9	b	0.0 $\pm$ 0.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	Dry
7-82	9	b	-0.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.1 $\pm$ 0.1

a. Radiochemically determined as uranium 233, 234, and 238.

b. Drilled in May 1982.

TABLE 16. Americium Concentrations in Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Location Number	Depth (meters)	Americium Concentration <sup>a</sup> ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )			
		February	May	August	December
1-60	6	0.156 $\pm$ 0.159	0.274 $\pm$ 0.165	0.067 $\pm$ 0.148	-0.056 $\pm$ 0.053
2-60	7	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.039	0.000 $\pm$ 0.036	-0.104 $\pm$ 0.242	-0.040 $\pm$ 0.061
3-60	9	-0.018 $\pm$ 0.032	-0.077 $\pm$ 0.038	0.041 $\pm$ 0.052	-0.062 $\pm$ 0.057
4-60	9	-0.010 $\pm$ 0.032	0.075 $\pm$ 0.053	0.103 $\pm$ 0.100	-0.031 $\pm$ 0.074
5-60	9	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-60	9	-0.009 $\pm$ 0.028	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.034	0.030 $\pm$ 0.135	-0.048 $\pm$ 0.071
1-66	45	0.000 $\pm$ 0.030	0.060 $\pm$ 0.051	0.024 $\pm$ 0.075	-0.048 $\pm$ 0.069
2-66	43	0.027 $\pm$ 0.039	0.032 $\pm$ 0.133	-0.121 $\pm$ 0.119	-0.038 $\pm$ 0.072
3-66	47	0.040 $\pm$ 0.055	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.040	0.089 $\pm$ 0.073	-0.052 $\pm$ 0.056
1-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
2-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
4-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
1-71	9	-0.023 $\pm$ 0.026	Dry	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.049	0.005 $\pm$ 0.086
2-71	9	Dry	0.041 $\pm$ 0.031	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.046	-0.051 $\pm$ 0.067
3-71	8	-0.029 $\pm$ 0.028	-0.025 $\pm$ 0.044	-0.047 $\pm$ 0.066	-0.045 $\pm$ 0.057
4-71	7	-0.026 $\pm$ 0.032	0.065 $\pm$ 0.040	0.047 $\pm$ 0.060	-0.051 $\pm$ 0.061
5-71	9	Dry	Dry	0.000 $\pm$ 0.006	Dry
6-71	9	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.029	0.004 $\pm$ 0.026	0.007 $\pm$ 0.063	-0.070 $\pm$ 0.059
1-74	7	-0.021 $\pm$ 0.024	0.063 $\pm$ 0.046	0.066 $\pm$ 0.064	-0.070 $\pm$ 0.054
3-74	7	Dry	Dry	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.058	-0.090 $\pm$ 0.069
4-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-74	5	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
7-74	15	0.008 $\pm$ 0.023	0.089 $\pm$ 0.048	0.046 $\pm$ 0.073	-0.025 $\pm$ 0.077
8-74	12	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
9-74	6	0.033 $\pm$ 0.058	0.008 $\pm$ 0.029	0.004 $\pm$ 0.056	0.005 $\pm$ 0.169
10-74	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
13-74	6	0.003 $\pm$ 0.027	0.084 $\pm$ 0.065	0.002 $\pm$ 0.055	-0.064 $\pm$ 0.064
14-74	1	Dry	0.031 $\pm$ 0.047	0.032 $\pm$ 0.071	Dry
15-74	6	0.045 $\pm$ 0.044	0.067 $\pm$ 0.048	-0.026 $\pm$ 0.049	-0.076 $\pm$ 0.114
16-74	1	Dry	Dry	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.082	Dry
17-74	5	-0.006 $\pm$ 0.003	0.001 $\pm$ 0.031	0.033 $\pm$ 0.066	-0.114 $\pm$ 0.064
18-74	2	-0.020 $\pm$ 0.045	0.055 $\pm$ 0.050	Dry	-0.099 $\pm$ 0.063
21-74	81	0.031 $\pm$ 0.031	0.118 $\pm$ 0.051	0.032 $\pm$ 0.056	-0.063 $\pm$ 0.077
22-74	96	0.013 $\pm$ 0.025	0.066 $\pm$ 0.047	0.070 $\pm$ 0.062	-0.075 $\pm$ 0.056
WS-1	4	0.005 $\pm$ 0.037	0.072 $\pm$ 0.089	-0.026 $\pm$ 0.056	-0.043 $\pm$ 0.063
WS-2	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
WS-3	4	Dry	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.039	0.033 $\pm$ 0.059	-0.058 $\pm$ 0.071
1-81	6	0.018 $\pm$ 0.039	0.072 $\pm$ 0.039	0.130 $\pm$ 0.107	-0.051 $\pm$ 0.070
2-81	6	-0.011 $\pm$ 0.056	0.044 $\pm$ 0.038	-0.041 $\pm$ 0.103	-0.049 $\pm$ 0.060
3-81	6	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.027	0.140 $\pm$ 0.055	0.041 $\pm$ 0.062	-0.039 $\pm$ 0.076
4-81	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-81	6	-0.007 $\pm$ 0.026	0.002 $\pm$ 0.031	-0.030 $\pm$ 0.061	-0.040 $\pm$ 0.084
6-81	9	0.069 $\pm$ 0.040	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.028	0.032 $\pm$ 0.047	-0.073 $\pm$ 0.067
7-81	9	-0.013 $\pm$ 0.033	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.027	0.148 $\pm$ 0.086	-0.082 $\pm$ 0.063
8-81	30	0.001 $\pm$ 0.030	0.063 $\pm$ 0.051	0.086 $\pm$ 0.123	-0.045 $\pm$ 0.063
9-81	9	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.035	0.065 $\pm$ 0.050	0.084 $\pm$ 0.109	-0.040 $\pm$ 0.061
10-81	9	0.012 $\pm$ 0.038	0.103 $\pm$ 0.051	-0.004 $\pm$ 0.045	0.049 $\pm$ 0.064
1-82	6	b	0.119 $\pm$ 0.050	0.039 $\pm$ 0.118	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.107
2-82	3	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-82	9	b	0.013 $\pm$ 0.033	0.024 $\pm$ 0.046	-0.046 $\pm$ 0.068
4-82	9	b	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-82	9	b	0.092 $\pm$ 0.046	0.018 $\pm$ 0.072	-0.021 $\pm$ 0.159
6-82	9	b	0.065 $\pm$ 0.042	0.030 $\pm$ 0.135	Dry
7-82	9	b	0.023 $\pm$ 0.037	0.228 $\pm$ 0.091	-0.026 $\pm$ 0.119

a. Radiochemically determined as americium 241.

b. Drilled in May 1982.

TABLE 17. Tritium Concentrations in Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Location Number	Depth (meters)	Tritium Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )			
		February	May	August	December
1-60	6	900 $\pm$ 519	1005 $\pm$ 540	680 $\pm$ 531	-68 $\pm$ 473
2-60	7	916 $\pm$ 493	939 $\pm$ 545	1035 $\pm$ 539	1362 $\pm$ 522
3-60	9	917 $\pm$ 503	489 $\pm$ 552	779 $\pm$ 830	491 $\pm$ 558
4-60	9	5448 $\pm$ 674	621 $\pm$ 570	2287 $\pm$ 627	3344 $\pm$ 567
5-60	9	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-60	9	958 $\pm$ 610	750 $\pm$ 789	848 $\pm$ 583	299 $\pm$ 492
1-66	45	382 $\pm$ 509	389 $\pm$ 614	747 $\pm$ 557	282 $\pm$ 483
2-66	43	1083 $\pm$ 582	797 $\pm$ 515	1276 $\pm$ 599	853 $\pm$ 965
3-66	47	963 $\pm$ 516	531 $\pm$ 569	691 $\pm$ 592	772 $\pm$ 973
1-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
2-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
4-68	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
1-71	9	25 $\pm$ 553	Dry	120 $\pm$ 582	67 $\pm$ 484
2-71	9	Dry	352 $\pm$ 536	377 $\pm$ 764	12 $\pm$ 548
3-71	8	700 $\pm$ 621	706 $\pm$ 522	993 $\pm$ 521	343 $\pm$ 571
4-71	7	409 $\pm$ 466	345 $\pm$ 544	-13 $\pm$ 581	271 $\pm$ 539
5-71	9	Dry	Dry	498 $\pm$ 801	Dry
6-71	9	2136 $\pm$ 590	1403 $\pm$ 447	2571 $\pm$ 551	265 $\pm$ 492
1-74	7	122 $\pm$ 610	869 $\pm$ 573	267 $\pm$ 807	317 $\pm$ 968
3-74	7	Dry	Dry	279 $\pm$ 510	Dry
4-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-74	5	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
6-74	2	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
7-74	15	-82 $\pm$ 609	245 $\pm$ 496	849 $\pm$ 822	381 $\pm$ 543
8-74	12	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
9-74	6	468 $\pm$ 542	185 $\pm$ 601	872 $\pm$ 796	101 $\pm$ 548
10-74	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
13-74	6	503 $\pm$ 528	1171 $\pm$ 554	738 $\pm$ 559	327 $\pm$ 494
14-74	1	Dry	29 $\pm$ 626	424 $\pm$ 807	Dry
15-74	6	295 $\pm$ 548	167 $\pm$ 517	397 $\pm$ 584	0 $\pm$ 496
16-74	1	Dry	Dry	435 $\pm$ 828	Dry
17-74	5	282 $\pm$ 543	541 $\pm$ 496	899 $\pm$ 602	559 $\pm$ 495
18-74	2	247 $\pm$ 521	380 $\pm$ 524	Dry	827 $\pm$ 495
21-74	81	25 $\pm$ 560	378 $\pm$ 556	495 $\pm$ 592	12 $\pm$ 474
22-74	96	180 $\pm$ 543	52 $\pm$ 557	415 $\pm$ 589	341 $\pm$ 964
WS-1	4	371 $\pm$ 580	112 $\pm$ 434	888 $\pm$ 789	359 $\pm$ 980
WS-2	3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
WS-3	4	Dry	367 $\pm$ 405	93 $\pm$ 579	109 $\pm$ 492
1-81	6	334 $\pm$ 588	437 $\pm$ 550	689 $\pm$ 590	485 $\pm$ 478
2-81	6	380 $\pm$ 599	590 $\pm$ 590	327 $\pm$ 523	-191 $\pm$ 968
3-81	6	-292 $\pm$ 590	188 $\pm$ 531	134 $\pm$ 583	268 $\pm$ 560
4-81	1	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-81	6	449 $\pm$ 534	392 $\pm$ 577	953 $\pm$ 803	198 $\pm$ 543
6-81	9	564 $\pm$ 566	266 $\pm$ 472	645 $\pm$ 585	262 $\pm$ 489
7-81	9	51 $\pm$ 557	38 $\pm$ 530	392 $\pm$ 794	121 $\pm$ 530
8-81	30	604 $\pm$ 535	196 $\pm$ 442	224 $\pm$ 535	464 $\pm$ 496
9-81	9	553 $\pm$ 484	269 $\pm$ 509	580 $\pm$ 528	468 $\pm$ 497
10-81	9	692 $\pm$ 601	72 $\pm$ 620	541 $\pm$ 570	437 $\pm$ 478
1-82	6	a	-59 $\pm$ 513	356 $\pm$ 550	-173 $\pm$ 495
2-82	3	a	Dry	Dry	Dry
3-82	9	a	58 $\pm$ 626	411 $\pm$ 566	284 $\pm$ 465
4-82	9	a	Dry	Dry	Dry
5-82	9	a	464 $\pm$ 638	323 $\pm$ 755	-26 $\pm$ 978
6-82	9	a	79 $\pm$ 427	288 $\pm$ 519	Dry
7-82	9	a	162 $\pm$ 470	334 $\pm$ 565	225 $\pm$ 516

a. Drilled in May 1982.

## F. Regional Water Monitoring

Regional water monitoring includes sampling and analysis of public water supplies and tap water from several surrounding communities. Of the regional water supplies, only Great Western Reservoir and Standley Lake receive runoff from Rocky Flats drainage systems (Figure 3). The Rocky Flats contributions to radionuclides in regional water supplies through airborne emissions were estimated in the Rocky Flats Plant Site Final Environmental Impact Statement.<sup>1</sup> These contributions were insignificant compared to contributions from fallout and natural background.

Water samples were collected weekly from Great Western Reservoir, a water supply for the city of Broomfield, and from Standley Lake, a water supply for the city of Westminster, and portions of the cities of Thornton and Northglenn. The weekly samples were composited into a monthly sample, and analyses were performed for plutonium, uranium, and americium concentrations. Tritium analysis was conducted for each weekly sample. Annual grab samples were also collected from three additional regional reservoirs (Ralston, Dillon, and Boulder) and one stream (South Boulder Diversion Canal) at distances ranging from 1.6 to 96 km (1 to 60 mi) from the Plant. These samples were collected to determine background data in water for plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. These data are presented in Tables 18 and 19.

Drinking water from Boulder, Broomfield, and Westminster was collected weekly, composited monthly, and analyzed specifically for plutonium, uranium, and americium. Tritium analyses were performed on weekly grab samples. Quarterly grab samples of tap water were collected from the surrounding communities of Arvada, Denver, Golden, Lafayette, Louisville, and Thornton. Samples were analyzed specifically for plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. These results also are presented in Tables 18 and 19.

Evaluation of the regional reservoir and drinking water data indicates no unusual results. The plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium concentration data for the regional reservoirs

represented a small fraction (11 percent or less) of the applicable RCG<sub>w</sub><sup>2,4</sup>. In the case of Great Western Reservoir, the average plutonium concentration was  $7.4 \times 10^{-5}$  Bq/l ( $0.002 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml). This value is in the range of concentrations anticipated for Great Western Reservoir in the Rocky Flats Plant Final Environmental Impact Statement.<sup>1</sup> The values given in the Impact Statement are based on known plutonium in the reservoir sediments. Results of the plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium data for 1982 drinking water in nine communities were all in the range of background. All drinking water values were 1.6 percent or less of the applicable RCG<sub>w</sub><sup>2,4</sup>.

Drinking water standards have been adopted by the State of Colorado<sup>8</sup> and the EPA<sup>9</sup> for alpha-emitting radionuclides (excluding uranium and radon) and for tritium. These standards are  $5.55 \times 10^{-1}$  Bq/l and 740 Bq/l ( $15 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml and  $20,000 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml) respectively. During 1982, the sum of the concentrations of plutonium and americium (alpha-emitting radionuclides) in each community tap water sample was  $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$  Bq/l ( $0.021 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml) or less. That value is 0.14 percent or less of the alpha activity standard. The tritium concentrations in Great Western Reservoir, Standley Lake, and in all community tap water samples averaged less than 14.8 Bq/l ( $400 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml). That value is typical of background tritium concentrations in Colorado and represents 2.0 percent or less of the State of Colorado and EPA Drinking Water Standard for tritium.<sup>8,9</sup>

## G. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Soil samples were collected during 1982 as part of a long-range monitoring program. The program is designed to provide information on possible migration of plutonium in soil and to provide data for comparison with the EPA proposed guidance on transuranium elements in the environment.<sup>5</sup> The program was initiated in 1979 and will continue at least through 1983.

Samples were taken at three locations west of Indiana Street within the eastern boundaries of the Plant. The sites are shown on Figure 10 as numbers 5, 9, and 16. Sites 13 and 21 were sampled in 1979,

TABLE 18. Plutonium, Uranium, and Americium Activity Concentrations in Public Water Supplies

Location	Number of Analyses	C <sub>min</sub>	C <sub>max</sub>	C <sub>avg</sub>	Percent of RCG <sub>w</sub>
<b>Reservoir</b>					
Plutonium Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ) <sup>a</sup>					
Boulder	1	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	<0.001
Dillon	1	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.007	<0.001
Great Western	12	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.007	0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	0.002 $\pm$ 0.005	<0.001
Ralston	1	0.003 $\pm$ 0.006	0.003 $\pm$ 0.006	0.003 $\pm$ 0.006	<0.001
South Boulder Diversion Canal	1	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.006	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.006	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.006	<0.001
Standley	12	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.004	0.005 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
<b>Drinking Water</b>					
Arvada	4	-0.002 $\pm$ 0.006	0.004 $\pm$ 0.007	0.001 $\pm$ 0.003	<0.001
Boulder	12	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.009	0.04 $\pm$ 0.01	0.005 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
Broomfield	12	-0.03 $\pm$ 0.02	0.004 $\pm$ 0.007	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
Denver	4	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.007	0.06 $\pm$ 0.01	0.014 $\pm$ 0.003	0.001
Golden	4	-0.005 $\pm$ 0.005	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.004 $\pm$ 0.003	<0.001
Lafayette	4	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.005	0.000 $\pm$ 0.005	0.000 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
Louisville	4	-0.001 $\pm$ 0.004	0.005 $\pm$ 0.006	0.002 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
Thornton	4	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.004	0.006 $\pm$ 0.006	0.002 $\pm$ 0.003	<0.001
Westminster	12	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.007	0.007 $\pm$ 0.007	0.000 $\pm$ 0.002	<0.001
<b>Reservoir</b>					
Uranium Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ) <sup>b</sup>					
Boulder	1	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	0.6
Dillon	1	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.4
Great Western	12	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	5.1 $\pm$ 0.4	2.9 $\pm$ 0.1	1.4
Ralston	1	22 $\pm$ 1	22 $\pm$ 1	22 $\pm$ 1	11.0
South Boulder Diversion Canal	1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.1
Standley	12	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	3.0 $\pm$ 0.3	2.3 $\pm$ 0.1	1.2
<b>Drinking Water</b>					
Arvada	4	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	2.5 $\pm$ 0.2	1.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.6
Boulder	12	-0.18 $\pm$ 0.08	5.7 $\pm$ 0.3	0.43 $\pm$ 0.03	0.2
Broomfield	12	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	6.9 $\pm$ 0.6	2.4 $\pm$ 0.1	1.2
Denver	4	1.0 $\pm$ 0.2	1.9 $\pm$ 0.2	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1	0.7
Golden	4	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1	1.3 $\pm$ 0.2	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.4
Lafayette	4	-0.08 $\pm$ 0.09	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.04 $\pm$ 0.05	0.02
Louisville	4	-0.18 $\pm$ 0.07	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.06	-0.11 $\pm$ 0.04	<0.001
Thornton	4	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	10.0 $\pm$ 0.5	3.1 $\pm$ 0.2	1.6
Westminster	12	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	2.4 $\pm$ 0.3	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1	0.7
<b>Reservoir</b>					
Americium Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ ) <sup>c</sup>					
Boulder	1	0.00 $\pm$ 0.03	0.00 $\pm$ 0.03	0.00 $\pm$ 0.03	<0.001
Dillon	1	0.00 $\pm$ 0.01	0.00 $\pm$ 0.01	0.00 $\pm$ 0.01	<0.001
Great Western	12	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.03	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.007 $\pm$ 0.004	<0.001
Ralston	1	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	<0.001
South Boulder Diversion Canal	1	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	<0.001
Standley	12	-0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.04 $\pm$ 0.02	0.008 $\pm$ 0.004	<0.001
<b>Drinking Water</b>					
Arvada	4	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.02	0.006 $\pm$ 0.007	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.006	<0.001
Boulder	12	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.02	0.04 $\pm$ 0.02	0.011 $\pm$ 0.004	0.001
Broomfield	12	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.003 $\pm$ 0.003	<0.001
Denver	4	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.007 $\pm$ 0.005	<0.001
Golden	4	-0.003 $\pm$ 0.008	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.009 $\pm$ 0.006	<0.001
Lafayette	4	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.06	0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.02	<0.001
Louisville	4	0.00 $\pm$ 0.01	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.008 $\pm$ 0.006	<0.001
Thornton	4	-0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	0.01 $\pm$ 0.01	0.000 $\pm$ 0.005	<0.001
Westminster	12	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.02	0.08 $\pm$ 0.03	0.003 $\pm$ 0.004	<0.001

a. Radiochemically determined as plutonium 239 and 240. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>w</sub>) for soluble plutonium in water available to the general population is  $1667 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

b. Radiochemically determined as uranium 233, 234, and 238. The most restrictive RCG<sub>w</sub> for these uranium isotopes in the soluble form in water available to the general population is  $200 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

c. Radiochemically determined as americium 241. The RCG<sub>w</sub> for soluble americium 241 in water available to the general population is  $1330 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .

TABLE 19. Tritium Concentrations in Public Water Supplies

Location	Number of Analyses	C <sub>min</sub>	C <sub>max</sub>	C <sub>avg</sub>	Percent of RCG <sub>w</sub> <sup>a</sup>
<u>Reservoir</u>					
Tritium Concentration ( $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ )					
Boulder	1	600 $\pm$ 600	600 $\pm$ 600	600 $\pm$ 600	0.06
Dillion	1	400 $\pm$ 500	400 $\pm$ 500	400 $\pm$ 500	0.04
Great Western	52	-1000 $\pm$ 700	800 $\pm$ 600	200 $\pm$ 100	0.02
Ralston	1	400 $\pm$ 600	400 $\pm$ 600	400 $\pm$ 600	0.04
South Boulder Diversion Canal	1	200 $\pm$ 600	200 $\pm$ 600	200 $\pm$ 600	0.02
Standley	52	-600 $\pm$ 700	800 $\pm$ 500	200 $\pm$ 100	0.02
<u>Drinking Water</u>					
Arvada	4	0 $\pm$ 500	600 $\pm$ 700	300 $\pm$ 300	0.03
Boulder	52	-600 $\pm$ 700	1000 $\pm$ 500	200 $\pm$ 100	0.02
Broomfield	52	-400 $\pm$ 600	900 $\pm$ 400	200 $\pm$ 100	0.02
Denver	4	200 $\pm$ 500	400 $\pm$ 600	300 $\pm$ 200	0.03
Golden	4	200 $\pm$ 600	600 $\pm$ 600	400 $\pm$ 300	0.04
Lafayette	4	-100 $\pm$ 600	800 $\pm$ 600	200 $\pm$ 300	0.02
Louisville	4	-100 $\pm$ 600	500 $\pm$ 600	100 $\pm$ 300	0.01
Thornton	4	0 $\pm$ 500	800 $\pm$ 600	300 $\pm$ 300	0.03
Westminster	52	-600 $\pm$ 500	900 $\pm$ 500	200 $\pm$ 100	0.02

a. The Radioactivity Concentration Guide (RCG<sub>w</sub>) for tritium in water available to the general population is  $1,000,000 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ . The EPA and State of Colorado Primary Drinking Water Regulation limits for tritium are  $20,000 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci}/\text{mL}$ .




FIGURE 10. Location of Soil and Vegetation Sampling Plots

TABLE 20. Plutonium Concentrations in Surface Soil Samples at the East Boundry of the Rocky Flats Plant

Location	pCi/g <sup>a</sup>	mCi/km <sup>2</sup> b	Location	pCi/g <sup>a</sup>	mCi/km <sup>2</sup> b
5-1	0.13 ± 0.02	2.5	9-1	0.82 ± 0.06	10
5-2	0.20 ± 0.02	3.1	9-2	0.62 ± 0.05	8
5-3	0.13 ± 0.02	1.8	9-3	0.67 ± 0.05	11
5-4	0.23 ± 0.03	2.9	9-4	1.53 ± 0.10	19
5-5	0.20 ± 0.02	3.5	9-5	1.53 ± 0.12	23
5-6	0.15 ± 0.02	2.0	9-6	1.98 ± 0.13	28
5-7	0.72 ± 0.06	9.0	9-7	2.40 ± 0.23	41
5-8	0.20 ± 0.02	3.3	9-8	1.14 ± 0.07	19
5-9	0.22 ± 0.02	4.1	9-9	0.97 ± 0.08	18
Mean	0.24 ± 0.02	3.6	Mean	1.30 ± 0.10	20
Median	0.20	3.1	Median	1.14	19
RSD <sup>c</sup>	76%	—	RSD <sup>c</sup>	47%	—

a. Concentrations are for the fraction of soil measuring less than 2 mm in size.

b. Samples were collected to a depth of 1 cm.

c. Percent relative standard deviation of the mean.

sites 16 and 28 were sampled in 1980, and sites 16, 17, and 25 were sampled in 1981. The EPA comparison study has been performed at sites 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, 25, and 28 and will be continued at two additional sites in the future. The plutonium migration study is underway at site 16.

Nine composite samples, composed of nine subsamples each, were collected at site 5, which is immediately south of the Walnut Creek and west of Indiana Street. Collection was done according to published procedures.<sup>5,14</sup> Each set of nine subsamples was collected on a spacing of 20 meters (65.6 feet) and composited to yield one of the nine final samples. The geometry of each subsample was controlled by use of a 10 × 10 × 1 centimeter (4 × 4 × 0.4 inch) cutting tool. The soil contained within the tool cavity was removed and analyzed for plutonium. The same procedure was followed at site 9.

Plutonium concentrations in soil samples at sites 5 and 9 are shown in Table 20. The values for the two locations range from 5 to 89 Bq/kg (0.13 to 2.40 pCi/g). The relative standard deviation of 76 percent at site 5 indicates that the plutonium deposition is not uniformly distributed at this site. This is expected with the low level of concentra-

tions. At site 9, however, with slightly higher levels of plutonium, the distribution appears to be more uniform with a relative standard deviation of 47 percent. The areal distribution for plutonium at site 5 ranged from  $0.7 \times 10^8$  to  $3.3 \times 10^8$  Bq/km<sup>2</sup> (1.8 to 9.0 mCi/km<sup>2</sup>). The median value at site 5 [ $1.1 \times 10^8$  Bq/km<sup>2</sup> (3.1 mCi/km<sup>2</sup>)] is 1.5 percent of the EPA proposed guideline for plutonium in soil.<sup>5</sup> The median concentration at site 9 was  $7.0 \times 10^8$  Bq/km<sup>2</sup> (19 mCi/km<sup>2</sup>) which is 9.5 percent of the proposed guideline.

The second series of samples for the migration study was taken at site 16. (See Figure 10.) Thirty samples, made up of five composites each, were taken at 15 locations. These locations were selected on a random basis from a grid of 64 squares [2 m (6.6 ft) on each side of a square] separated by alleys 1-m wide. The subsamples were taken from the four corners and the center of each square. The remaining squares will be sampled in subsequent years to determine surface and depth changes in plutonium concentrations.

The samples from each square consisted of surface and core samples. Surface material was taken by means of a 10 × 10 × 5-centimeter (4 × 4 × 2 inch) cutting tool, and soil from the interior of the tool



TABLE 21. Plutonium Concentrations in Soil Samples From Within the Eastern Boundary of the Rocky Flats Plant

Location <sup>c</sup>	Surface <sup>a</sup> (pCi/g) <sup>d</sup>	Core <sup>b</sup> (pCi/g) <sup>d</sup>
16-2	11.9 ± 0.8	0.76 ± 0.06
16-6	10.5 ± 0.8	0.57 ± 0.05
16-8	9.22 ± 0.73	0.85 ± 0.07
16-15	16.4 ± 1.0	0.71 ± 0.06
16-16	13.9 ± 0.9	1.26 ± 0.11
16-17	13.7 ± 0.8	0.94 ± 0.07
16-19	9.28 ± 0.56	1.05 ± 0.07
16-20	11.0 ± 0.4	0.96 ± 0.06
16-24	11.6 ± 0.9	0.73 ± 0.06
16-39	10.7 ± 0.8	1.42 ± 0.09
16-41	10.3 ± 0.8	0.66 ± 0.05
16-43	12.2 ± 0.8	0.60 ± 0.03
16-47	8.54 ± 0.42	1.50 ± 0.05
16-48	8.26 ± 0.38	1.80 ± 0.06
16-60	9.82 ± 0.56	0.55 ± 0.02
Mean	11.2 ± 0.70	0.96 ± 0.06
Median	10.7	0.85
RSD <sup>e</sup>	20%	40%

a. Sampled to a depth of 5 cm.

b. Sampled from 5 to 20 cm.

c. The first number of each location refers to site 16 as shown on Figure 10. The second number is the sample location on the grid at site 16.

d. Concentrations are for the less than 2 mm size fraction of soil.

e. Percent relative standard deviation of the mean.

was carefully removed for analysis. The core samples were taken from the same sites as the surface samples by means of an orchard auger measuring 8.3 centimeters (3.3 inches) in diameter. The depth of the cores was from 5 to 20 centimeters (2 to 8 inches). Surface samples and core samples were retained as individual samples but received identical preparation and analysis.

Plutonium concentrations in surface soil and soil core samples at site 16 are shown in Table 21. The range of values for surface samples at site 16 was between 306 and 607 Bq/kg (8.26 and 16.4 pCi/g). These values are within the range of those determined in 1980 and 1981. Core samples contained plutonium in the range between 20 and 67 Bq/kg (0.55 and 1.80 pCi/g). The median value was 31 Bq/kg (0.85 pCi/g). These values are not significantly different from those measured in 1980 and 1981.

## H. Vegetation Sampling and Analysis

Vegetation from the Rocky Flats Plant is periodically sampled and analyzed for plutonium 239 and 240, plutonium 238, and americium 241. This sampling is part of an ecological monitoring program designed to aid in evaluating the environmental impact of the Plant.

During September 1982, all standing grass was clipped from 1.0-m<sup>2</sup> frames located randomly at 10 sites in each of two plots (Figure 10). Vegetation samples were also collected from Lafayette, Colorado, which is approximately 16 km (10 mi) northeast of the Plant, and were employed as controls. All vegetation samples were oven dried at 95-100 °C and were submitted to the Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories for ashing and radionuclide analyses. A statistical summary of the data is presented in Table 22.

TABLE 22. Radioactivity Concentrations in Vegetation From Plots A and B  
(Values are picocuries per gram of ash, blank corrected)

	Pu-239, -240			Pu-238			Am-241		
	Number of Analyses	$\bar{X}$	SD	Number of Analyses	$\bar{X}$	SD	Number of Analyses	$\bar{X}$	SD
Plot A	10	0.068	0.039	10	0.001	0.007	9	0.023	0.022
Plot B	10	0.004	0.012	10	0.002	0.009	10	-0.006	0.018
Control	3	0.008	0.006	3	0.001	0.012	3	0.004	0.016

TABLE 23. Environmental Thermoluminescent Dosimeter Measurements

Location Category	Number of Locations	Number of Measurements	Annual Measured Dose (mrem) <sup>a</sup>
Onsite	17	130	123 ± 1
Perimeter	16	124	114 ± 1
Community	12	87	128 ± 1

a. The error terms reported represent the 95 percent confidence interval for the standard error of the mean ( $1.96 \sigma_{\bar{X}}$ ), calculated from the variance of the individual, measured values.

Application of one-sided t-tests to the plutonium 239, 240 data indicated that Plot A vegetation samples contained more of these radionuclides than control and Plot B samples. There was no statistically significant difference between vegetation from Plot B versus control. Because about one-third of the plutonium 238 and americium 241 values were less than zero, no statistical comparisons of these data are presented here.

## J. External Gamma Radiation Dose Monitoring

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD's) are used to measure external penetrating gamma radiation exposure at 45 locations on and off the Plant site. Two TLD's are located at each site for an exposure period of 3 months. The TLD's are placed at 17 locations within the property enclosed by the security fence shown in Figure 2. Measurements are also made at 16 perimeter locations 3 to 6 kilometers (2 to 4 miles) from the Plant and in 12 communities located within 50 kilometers (30 miles) of the Plant. The TLD's are placed at a height of 1 meter (3 feet) above ground level.

Each TLD consists of a sealed glass bulb enclosing two extruded ribbons of  $\text{CaF}_2:\text{Mn}$  (TLD-400) that sandwich a central metal heater strip. The TLD's are encased in an energy-compensating shield to reduce over-response to photons with energies less than about 100 keV. The use of TLD's for assessing external penetrating radiation in the environment has been evaluated under field and laboratory conditions and has been found to be a sensitive and reliable tool for environmental measurement of gamma radiation exposure.<sup>15</sup>

The environmental dosimeters have been individually calibrated (five times each) against an onsite gamma calibration source. The average calibration factor for each dosimeter is applied to measurements taken with that dosimeter. An additional correction is applied to correct for day-to-day variations in reader calibration.

The 1982 environmental measurements made using TLD's are summarized in Table 23. The average annual dose equivalents, as measured onsite, in the perimeter environs, and in communities, were 1.23, 1.14, and 1.28 mSv (123, 114, and 128 mrem),

respectively. These values are indicative of background gamma radiation in the area.<sup>10</sup>

## V. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL PLANT CONTRIBUTION TO PUBLIC RADIATION DOSE

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from Plant operations, were calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the DOE property boundaries and in surrounding communities. Inhalation, water ingestion, and ground-plane irradiation were found to be the principal pathways of exposure. Swimming and consumption of foodstuffs and fish were found to be insignificant pathways. This latter finding is to be expected because of limited swimming and fishing in the area and because most locally consumed food is produced at considerable distances from the Plant.

Dose assessment for 1982 was conducted for several locations: the DOE property (site) boundary, nearby communities, and sites to a distance of 80 kilometers (50 miles). Dose conversion factors used for the calculations were generated by computer codes that are described in detailed reports.<sup>16,17</sup> These conversion factors are listed in Table 24. The inhalation rate of  $2.66 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s and the water ingestion rate of 1.65 l (1.75 quarts) per day were derived from data for reference man,<sup>18</sup> and were included in the dose conversion factors. Each of

these dose conversion factors is for a 70-year dose commitment from one year of chronic exposure.

In deriving the inhalation source terms, solubility Class W is used for radionuclides in the total body, liver, and bone. Solubility Class W is defined by the ICRP Task Force on Lung Dynamics as material with a maximal clearance half-time from the lungs ranging from a few days to a few months. Solubility Class Y, used by the ICRP to describe materials retained in the lungs with a maximal biological half-time ranging from 6 months to several years, is used for the lungs. Obviously, the inhaled material cannot be both Class W and Class Y simultaneously as this treatment suggests; however, since the exact solubility of the inhaled material is not known, this treatment is conservatively used to yield a maximum calculated dose to any of the referenced organs regardless of the actual solubility.

### A. Dose Assessment Source Terms

Plutonium and americium in the Rocky Flats environs are the combined result of fallout deposition from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing and past releases from the Plant. Uranium, a naturally occurring element, is indigenous to many parts of Colorado and also is used in Plant operations in various isotopic ratios. Tritium, a radionuclide formed by natural processes, also is associated with Plant operations and fallout.

TABLE 24. Dose Conversion Factors Used in Dose Assessment Calculations<sup>a</sup>

Organ	Inhalation <sup>b</sup> ( $\frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{cubic meter}}{\text{curie}}$ )	Water Ingestion <sup>c</sup> ( $\frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{liter}}{\text{curie}}$ )			Ground Plane Irradiation ( $\frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{square meter}}{\text{curie}}$ )	
	Pu-239, -240	Pu-239, -240	Am-241	U-233, -234	Pu-239, -240	Am-241
Total Body	$8.60 \times 10^{10}$	$5.22 \times 10^6$	$5.33 \times 10^7$	$4.41 \times 10^4$	$2.84 \times 10^2$	$7.57 \times 10^3$
Liver	$9.99 \times 10^{12}$	$6.03 \times 10^8$	$6.21 \times 10^9$	(d)	(d)	(d)
Bone	$2.50 \times 10^{13}$	$1.51 \times 10^9$	$1.49 \times 10^{10}$	(d)	(d)	(d)
Lung	$6.31 \times 10^{12}$	(d)	(d)	(d)	(d)	(d)

a. These factors are taken from the Rocky Flats Plant Final Environmental Impact Statement.<sup>1</sup>

b. For 0.3- $\mu$ m AMAD (Activity Median Aerodynamic Diameter), inhalation rate of  $2.66 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s for chronic exposure.<sup>18</sup>

c. For intake rate of 1.65 liters (1.75 quarts) per day.<sup>18</sup>

d. Values for the conversion factor are taken to be equal to that for the total body.

Inhalation source terms for the 1982 dose assessment were based on plutonium 239 and 240 concentrations measured in ambient air samples. The presence of plutonium in the air, from atmospheric weapons testing in previous years, causes these concentrations to be an overestimate of the Rocky Flats contribution. The ingestion source terms were based on measured concentrations of plutonium, americium, uranium, and tritium in water. The ground plane source terms were based on measured values of plutonium in soil and an assumed ratio of americium to plutonium alpha activity (0.20) in the soil. This ratio is the maximum level of americium in-growth from Rocky Flats plutonium.<sup>1</sup>

The maximum site-boundary dose assessment assumes that an individual is continuously present at the Plant perimeter, which actually is uninhabited. The plutonium inhalation source term of less than  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> ( $9 \times 10^{-18}$  Ci/m<sup>3</sup>) was the maximum annual average concentration of plutonium 239, and 240, as measured for a single location in the perimeter ambient air sampling network.

The water supply for the individual at the site boundary was assumed to be Walnut Creek, which intermittently flows offsite and provides the liquid effluent source term at the site boundary. During 1982, the plutonium concentration in Walnut Creek averaged less than  $8 \times 10^{-4}$  Bq/l ( $2 \times 10^{-14}$  Ci/l). The average americium concentration was less than  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  Bq/l ( $1 \times 10^{-14}$  Ci/l). These concentrations were used as the water ingestion source term for the maximum site boundary dose assessment. The average concentration of uranium in Walnut Creek was less than  $1.9 \times 10^{-1}$  Bq/l ( $5.0 \times 10^{-12}$  Ci/l) while the average concentration in incoming raw

water was  $1.4 \times 10^{-1}$  Bq/l ( $3.7 \times 10^{-12}$  Ci/l). The source term for uranium ingestion was the difference between these two values [ $5.0 \times 10^{-2}$  Bq/l ( $1.3 \times 10^{-12}$  Ci/l)]. The average tritium concentration in Walnut Creek was  $1.5 \times 10^1$  Bq/l ( $4.0 \times 10^{-10}$  Ci/l), which is within the background range typically measured in regional waters. Tritium in the water was, therefore, omitted from the dose assessment.

The ground-plane irradiation source term is based on the maximum plutonium in soil deposition at the Plant perimeter, as reported by the Environmental Measurements Laboratory.<sup>19</sup> This source term is  $1 \times 10^3$  Bq/m<sup>2</sup> ( $3 \times 10^{-8}$  Ci/m<sup>2</sup>). The americium is assumed to be present at an alpha activity level of 20 percent that of the plutonium, which is the maximum quantity of americium that can be present in Rocky Flats plutonium from the decay of plutonium 241.<sup>1</sup> The americium source term, therefore, is  $2 \times 10^2$  Bq/m<sup>2</sup> ( $6 \times 10^{-9}$  Ci/m<sup>2</sup>).

Source terms and corresponding dose commitments were evaluated for each of the surrounding communities to determine the maximum community exposure. Ground-plane irradiation and water ingestion pathways were insignificant for all of the communities. The only significant pathway for radiation exposure was inhalation of plutonium in air. The source term for inhalation used in the dose assessment was the maximum annual average plutonium concentration measured in community ambient air [ $6.7 \times 10^{-7}$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> ( $1.8 \times 10^{-17}$  Ci/m<sup>3</sup>)]. This concentration was the annual average concentration measured in the Broomfield ambient air sampler.

A summary of the source terms for the maximum site boundary and for community locations is tabulated in Table 25.

TABLE 25. Radioactivity Concentrations Used for 1982 Dose Calculations

Location	Air (Ci/m <sup>3</sup> )		Water (Ci/l)		Surface Deposition (Ci/m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Pu-239, -240	Pu-239, -240	Am-241	U-233, -234	Pu-239, -240	Am-241
Maximum Site Boundary	$9 \times 10^{-18}$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	$1 \times 10^{-14}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-12}$	$3 \times 10^{-8}$	$6 \times 10^{-9}$
Community	$1.8 \times 10^{-17}$	—	—	—	—	—

### B. Maximum Site Boundary Dose

The maximum dose to an individual continuously present at the site boundary is based on the radionuclide concentrations shown in Table 25. From these concentrations and the dose conversion factors in Table 24, a 70-year dose commitment of  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  Sv ( $6 \times 10^{-5}$  rem) is calculated for the total body. The corresponding bone dose is  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  rem). The DOE radiation protection standards for individuals in uncontrolled areas are  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-1}$  rem) annually for the total body and  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$  Sv (1.5 rem) each year for mineral bone.<sup>2</sup> The maximum site boundary dose represents less than 0.02 percent of the standard for total body and less than 0.04 percent of the standard for mineral bone.

### C. Maximum Community Dose

Based on radionuclide concentrations in surrounding communities (Table 25), the calculated 70-year dose commitments were  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  Sv ( $2 \times 10^{-6}$  rem) to the total body and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  rem) to the bone. These values represent less than 0.002

percent and 0.1 percent, respectively, of the  $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  Sv ( $1.7 \times 10^{-1}$  rem) annual total body standard, for a suitable sample of the exposed population, and  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  Sv ( $5 \times 10^{-1}$  rem) standard for mineral bone.<sup>2</sup>

The maximum site boundary and community dose commitments are summarized in Table 26. These values may be compared to an average dose rate reported for the Denver area of  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $1.68 \times 10^{-3}$  Sv/yr ( $1.5 \times 10^{-1}$  and  $1.68 \times 10^{-1}$  rem/yr) to the total body and bone, respectively, from natural radiation. (See Table 27.)

### D. Eighty-Kilometer Dose Estimates

The dose commitment for all individuals, to a distance of 80 kilometers (50 miles) is based on the calculated maximum community dose estimates shown in Table 25. Estimated dose commitments, for each of the specific organs, are all less than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Sv ( $1 \times 10^{-3}$  rem or 1 mrem). A level of " $\sim 1$  mrem/yr" or less is specified as a *de minimis* (inconsequential) level of exposure in the DOE prescribed standard *A Guide to Reducing*

TABLE 26. Seventy-Year Dose Commitment From One Year of Chronic Intake/Exposure

Source	Total Body (rem)	Liver (rem)	Bone (rem)	Lungs (rem)
Maximum Site Boundary Location	$6 \times 10^{-5}$	$3 \times 10^{-4}$	$5 \times 10^{-4}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
Community	$2 \times 10^{-6}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	$5 \times 10^{-4}$	$1 \times 10^{-4}$

TABLE 27. Natural Radiation Background  
Dose for the Denver Metropolitan Area<sup>a</sup>

Source	Total Body <sup>b</sup> (rem/yr)	Liver <sup>b</sup> (rem/yr)	Bone (rem/yr)	Lungs (rem/yr)
Cosmic Radiation	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
Cosmic Radionuclides	0.0007	0.0007	0.0008	0.0007
External Terrestrial	0.072	0.072	0.057	0.072
Inhaled Radionuclides	—	—	—	0.100
Radionuclides in the Body	0.027	0.027	0.060	0.024
Total for One Year	0.1497	0.1497	0.1678	0.2467

a. Values in this table are a summary of values derived from Reference 18.

b. Values for the total body and liver are considered to be the same as the values reported for gonads in Reference 18.

RFP-ENV-82/EIGHTY-KILOMETER DOSE ESTIMATES

*Radiation Exposure to As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA).*<sup>20</sup> The Guide further states:

"Radiation-induced mutations and diseases have not been discovered in populations that are or have been exposed to doses of 100 mrem/yr or less. Hence, it is reasonable to suggest that no health effects will be discerned if a population is ex-

posed to an additional 1 percent of the level; i.e., ~1 mrem/yr. An annual dose of 1 mrem should be regarded as a level which is clearly *de minimis*."

Based on the *de minimis* concept in the Guide and on the maximum community dose estimates, the dose commitment for all individuals to 80 kilometers is considered to be *de minimis*.

## VI. APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A  
APPLICABLE GUIDES AND STANDARDS

The Rocky Flats Plant Environmental Monitoring Program includes evaluating Plant compliance with all relevant guides, limits, and standards. Guide values for radionuclides in ambient air and waterborne effluents have been adopted by the Department of Energy and the Colorado Department of Health.<sup>2,4</sup> The guides are based on recommendations published by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) and the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement (NCRP). Limits for nonradioactive pollutants in effluent water have been defined by an Environmental Protection Agency National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) discharge permit.<sup>7</sup> In 1976, the EPA also established standard for radionuclides in drinking water.<sup>9</sup> These drinking water standards have been adopted, in turn, by the State of Colorado.<sup>8</sup>

The Radioactivity Concentration Guides (RCG's) published by DOE and CDH include permissible concentrations of specific radionuclides and mixtures of radionuclides in air ( $RCG_a$ ) and water ( $RCG_w$ ) for individuals in the general population.<sup>2,4</sup> These guides are reduced by a factor of three when applied to a suitable sample of the general population. Numerical values of the guides for specific radionuclides are cited in some of the tables presented in this report. The guides additionally restrict the concentration of radionuclides in a mixture such that the sum of the ratios of each radionuclide concentration to the appropriate concentration guide shall not exceed a value of one. The guides further state that a radionuclide may be considered as not present in a mixture if (a) the ratio of the concentration of that radionuclide in the mixture to the concentration guide for that radionuclide does not exceed one-tenth and (b) the sum of such ratios for all radionuclides considered as not present in the mixture does not exceed one-fourth.

During 1982, average specific radionuclide concentrations in air and water were all less than one-tenth of the appropriate concentration guides for specific

radionuclides. The sum of the ratios of those average concentrations to their respective RCG's was less than one-fourth for all air and water sampling locations. The measured concentrations in the tables have, therefore, been compared to the concentration guides for specific radionuclides rather than to the guide for mixtures.

The RCG's for each radionuclide are specified for soluble and insoluble material. For purposes of comparing concentrations to RCG's, the more restrictive of the two (soluble or insoluble) RCG's is used. In this report, the RCG's for americium, plutonium, uranium, and tritium are referenced. The more restrictive RCG's for americium, plutonium, uranium, and tritium are for soluble material. Throughout this report, where a radionuclide concentration is expressed as the cumulative measurement of more than one isotope, the stated RCG used for comparison represents the most restrictive RCG for that grouping of isotopes. Plutonium concentrations measured at Rocky Flats represent the alpha radioactivity from plutonium isotopes 239 and 240, which constitute over 97 percent of the alpha radioactivity in plutonium handled at the Plant.

Reported uranium concentrations are the cumulative alpha activity from uranium 233, 234, and 238. Fully enriched and depleted uranium are the principal types of uranium handled at Rocky Flats. Uranium 235 is the major isotope by weight (93 percent) in fully enriched uranium; however, uranium 234 accounts for approximately 97 percent of the alpha activity of fully enriched uranium. In depleted uranium, the combined alpha activity from uranium 234 and 238 account for approximately 99 percent of the total alpha activity. The uranium RCG's used in this report for air and water, are those for uranium 233, 234, and uranium 238, which are the most restrictive.

Environmental uranium concentrations can be measured by a variety of laboratory techniques. Nonradiological techniques yield concentration

units of mass per unit volume such as  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and  $\mu\text{g}/\ell$ . The uranium concentrations given in this report were derived by measuring radioactivity from alpha-emitting uranium isotopes and are expressed in terms of activity units per unit volume. Rocky Flats data include measurements of depleted uranium, fully enriched uranium, and natural uranium.

Conversion factors for specific types of uranium can be used to compare the data in this report to data from other facilities and agencies that are given in units of mass per unit volume; however, the resulting approximations will not have the same assurance of accuracy as that for the original measured values. Uranium in effluent air from Plant buildings is primarily depleted uranium. The conversion factor for this data is  $2.6 \times 10^6$  g/Ci. Natural uranium is the predominant form found in water. The conversion factor for water data is  $1.5 \times 10^6$  g/Ci.

The applicable EPA standard for beryllium (a nonradioactive material) in airborne effluents from Plant buildings is 10 grams per stationary source in a 24-hour time period.<sup>12</sup> For ambient air, the applicable DOE and CDH RCG's for soluble plutonium 239 and 240 in uncontrolled areas and for the general population are  $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$  Bq/ $\text{m}^3$  ( $60 \times 10^{-15}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ) and  $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$  Bq/ $\text{m}^3$  ( $20 \times 10^{-15}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ), respectively.<sup>2, 4</sup>

The DOE and CDH soluble americium 241 RCG in waterborne effluents for the general population is 49 Bq/ $\ell$  ( $1,330 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ).<sup>2, 4</sup> The comparable RCG for plutonium 239, 240 in water is 62 Bq/ $\ell$  ( $1,667 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ).<sup>2, 4</sup> The most restrictive RCG for uranium 233, 234, and 238 in water is 7.4 Bq/ $\ell$  ( $200 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ), which is the RCG for soluble uranium 238.<sup>2</sup> In waterborne effluents available to the general public, the RCG for tritium is 3700 Bq/ $\ell$  ( $1,000,000 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ).

In 1976, the Environmental Protection Agency promulgated regulations for radionuclides in

drinking water.<sup>9</sup> These regulations were effective on June 24, 1977, along with primary drinking water regulations for microbiological, chemical, and physical contaminants. The intent of the Safe Drinking Water Act was to ensure that each state has primary responsibility for maintaining drinking water quality. To comply with these requirements, the Colorado State Board of Health modified existing State drinking water standards to include radionuclides.<sup>8</sup> Two of the community drinking water standards are of interest in this report. The State standard for gross-alpha particle activity (including radium 226 but excluding radon and uranium) in community water systems is a maximum of  $5.6 \times 10^{-1}$  Bq/ $\ell$  (15 pCi/ $\ell$  or  $15 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ). Americium and plutonium, which are alpha-emitting radionuclides, are included in this limit. The limit for tritium in drinking water is 740 Bq/ $\ell$  (20,000 pCi/ $\ell$  or  $20,000 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}^3$ ).

The Rocky Flats Plant NPDES permit, which the EPA reissued in 1981 to DOE, established sanitary effluent limitations on discharge from Pond B-3 (sewage effluent), limitations for nitrate and pH in the discharge from Holding Pond A-3 in the Walnut Creek drainage, limitations on discharge from the reverse osmosis pilot plant on Woman Creek, limitations on discharge from the reverse osmosis plant, and control of sediment release during discharges from Ponds A-4, B-5, and C-2.

In addition to evaluating compliance with all relevant guides, limits, and standards, the Environmental and Occupational Health Branch assists operating groups in adhering to the DOE policy that "... operations shall be conducted in a manner to assure that radiation exposure to individuals and population groups is limited to the lowest levels technically and economically practicable."<sup>2</sup>

Standards for radioactive and nonradioactive materials, which are applicable to the Rocky Flats Plant, are shown in Table A-1.



TABLE A-1. Applicable Standards for Radioactive and Nonradioactive Materials

Legend			
$\mu\text{Ci}$ = microcuries	g = grams		
$\text{m}^3$ = cubic meters	40 CFR 61 = Code of Federal Regulations		
$\text{m}\ell$ = milliliters	National Emission Standards for		
$\text{mg}/\ell$ = milligrams per liter	Hazardous Air Pollutants (USEPA)		
SU = standard units	DOE = Department of Energy		
NA = not applicable	NPDES = National Pollutant Discharge		
	Elimination System		
	CDH = Colorado Department of Health		
Parameters	Applicable Guides and Standards		Reference
<u>Airborne Effluents</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	NA		NA
Uranium 233, 234, 238	NA		NA
Tritium	NA		NA
Beryllium	<10 g/day		40 CFR 61.32(a)
<u>Ambient Air</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	<20.0 $\times 10^{-15}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}\ell$		DOE Order 5480.1, CDH
<u>Waterborne Effluents (Radioactive)</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	<1,667 $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}\ell$		DOE Order 5480.1, CDH
Uranium 233, 234, 238	200 $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}\ell$		DOE Order 5480.1
Americium 241	<1,330 $\times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}\ell$		DOE Order 5480.1, CDH
Tritium	<1,000 $\times 10^{-6}$ $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{m}\ell$		DOE Order 5480.1, CDH
<u>Discharge Limitations<sup>a</sup></u>			
Parameter	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Reference
<u>Effluent Water Samples (Nonradioactive)</u>			
pH	6.0–9.0 SU		NPDES Permit
Nitrate as N	10 $\text{mg}/\ell$	20 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit
Total Phosphorus	8 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NA	NPDES Permit
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-Day	10 $\text{mg}/\ell$	25 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit
Suspended Solids	30 $\text{mg}/\ell$	45 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit
Total Chromium	0.05 $\text{mg}/\ell$	0.1 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit
Residual Chlorine	NA	0.5 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit
Oil and Grease	NA	Visual	NPDES Permit
Fecal Coliform Count	400 organisms/100 $\text{m}\ell$	(7 day)	NPDES Permit
Fecal Coliform Count	200 organisms/100 $\text{m}\ell$	(30 day)	NPDES Permit
Total Organic Carbon	22 $\text{mg}/\ell$	30 $\text{mg}/\ell$	NPDES Permit

a. These limitations are presented as indicators of the types of parameters and associated concentration limits required by the NPDES permit. Details of these requirements specific to each discharge location are given in the referenced document.<sup>7</sup> The daily and monthly limitations indicated cannot be correlated with the annual water quality data summarized in Table 10.

## APPENDIX B

### QUALITY CONTROL

A Quality Program Plan has been developed by the Environmental Analysis (EA) Section to provide controls for assurance that

- Current operating procedures exist for all phases of EA operations and that these procedures are implemented as written.
- Appropriate approvals are obtained prior to program initiation or change.
- The equipment used in sample collection and data analysis is appropriate to the assigned function and is operating as required.
- Accurate documentation exists for all programs, procedures, and actions.
- All variances from procedures or equipment use and performance are documented and explained with an impact assessment.
- Appropriate guidelines and standards for environmental monitoring are identified, and documentation of compliance is provided on a routine basis to Rocky Flats management, DOE, and to State and Federal regulatory agencies.

The Quality Program Plan establishes control points and delineates responsibilities for specific categories of activities; provides an information base from which procedures can be developed, updated, and/or implemented; establishes a state of emergency preparedness in its contingency plans; and provides documented evidence of intent to comply with rules and regulations of Federal, State, and local regulatory agencies.

The plan includes quality assurance flow charts and quality matrices that illustrate activity networks and corresponding quality elements of each responsibility area. A complete listing of activities and responsibilities is also included in the Plan.

To ensure data reliability, the Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories (HS&EL) Quality

Control Program Plan outlines the quality control methods used in all phases of laboratory operations.

This quality control program includes the following elements:

- Development, evaluation, improvement, modification, and documentation of analytical procedures.
- Scheduled instrument calibration, control charting, and preventive maintenance.
- Participation in interlaboratory quality comparison programs.
- Intralaboratory quality control programs.

All sample batches scheduled for analysis by the HS&EL Central Receiving Laboratory contain an average of 10 percent control samples. The controls consist of analytical blanks prepared in-house and standards prepared by the Rocky Flats Chemistry Standards Laboratory.

An analysis or group of analyses may be rejected and the sample or samples scheduled for reanalysis for one or more of the following reasons:

1. The chemical recovery is less than 10 percent or greater than 110 percent.
2. The analytical blanks in the analysis batch are out of acceptable range.
3. The standards in the analysis batch are not within acceptable limits of error.
4. The alpha energy spectrum is not acceptable because of the following:
  - a. extra and/or unidentified peaks.
  - b. excess noise in background areas.
  - c. poor resolution of peaks.

5. The chemist in charge of the laboratory believes there is reason to suspect the analysis.

Bioassay and Environmental Measurements Program for 1982.

Any unusual condition affecting the results, which is noted either during sample collection or analysis, is reported to Environmental Analysis.

The HS&EL participate in the EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory (EMSL) Cross-check Program.

Table B-1 is a summary of HS&EL participation in the Rocky Flats Chemistry Standards Laboratory

Table B-2 summarizes the HS&EL participation in this program.

TABLE B-1. Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories Bioassay and Environmental Measurements Program Data (January Through December 1982)

Isotopes Reported	Matrix	Method	Standard Range	Normal Sample Range	Annual Relative <sup>a</sup> Error Percent	Total Control Analyses
Pu-239, -240	Water	Alpha Spectral	0-20 d/m/l	0-2 d/m/l	-18	60
Am-241	Water	Alpha Spectral	0-3 d/m/l	0-1 d/m/l	- 1	60
U-238, -234, -235	Water	Alpha Spectral	0-35 d/m/l	0-20 d/m/l	- 8	60
Pu-239, -240	Whatman Filters	Alpha Spectral	0-30 d/m/filter	0-10 d/m/filter	- 5	120
Am-241	Whatman Filters	Alpha Spectral	0-4 d/m/filter	0-2 d/m/filter	17	120
U-238, -234, -235	Whatman Filters	Alpha Spectral	0-3 d/m/filter	0-30 d/m/filter	-29	120
Be <sup>b</sup>	Whatman Filters	Atomic Absorption	1-5 µg/filter	0-2 µg/filter	-53	120
Pu-239, -240	Microsorban Filters	Alpha Spectral	0-50 d/m/filter	0-20 d/m/filter	-17	48
<sup>3</sup> H	Water	Beta Liquid	0-5 × 10 <sup>4</sup> pCi/l	0-10 <sup>4</sup> pCi/l	- 3	60

a. The ratio of the deviations of the 12-month differences to standard value in percent i.e., observed value minus standard value divided by standard value times 100 equals the ratio as expressed in percent. The relative error for control measurements is often called the coefficient of variation where the dispersion of data (in this case, the average differences between measured and standard values) is divided by the average standard value submitted. This term is inclusive of all random and systematic error in the standards, analytical chemistry, and measurement process for a given nuclide, matrix, and procedure.

b. Analyzed by 881 General Laboratory.

TABLE B-2. Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories Participation in the EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory Crosscheck Program During 1982

Isotope Reported	Matrix	Method	Number of Analyses Reported	Relative Percent Error
<sup>3</sup> H	Water	Beta Liquid Scintillation	5	-10
Total U	Water	Alpha Spectral Analysis	2	-17
I-131	Water	Gamma Spectral Analysis	2	- 1

## APPENDIX C

### ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories (HS&EL) routinely perform the following analyses on environmental and effluent samples:

1. Gross Alpha
2. Gross Beta
3. Gamma Spectral Analysis
4. Alpha Spectral Analysis (Pu-239, -238, Am-241, U-238, -233, -234)
5. Beta Liquid Scintillation - Tritium
6. Iodometric Titration - Chlorine
7. Bacteria
8. Atomic Absorption - Beryllium

Procedures for these analyses are described in the HS&EL Procedures and Practices Manual.<sup>21</sup> The procedures for bacteria and chlorine analyses were developed following EPA guidelines. Soil procedures were developed following specifications set forward in "Measurements of Radionuclides in the Environment, Sampling and Analysis of Plutonium in Soil," NRC Reg. Guide 4.5. All new procedures and changes to existing procedures must be thoroughly tested, documented, and approved in writing by the Manager of HS&EL before being implemented. Environmental Analysis is notified of any major changes that could affect analytical results. All procedures are reviewed annually for consistency with state-of-the-art techniques, or at any time an analytical problem is suspected.

Copies of all procedures are kept on file in the office of the Manager of HS&EL.

The following is a general outline of the analytical procedures followed by the laboratories:

Samples received for gross alpha and gross beta screening are counted approximately 24 and 48 hours after collection. Samples exceeding the

limits set by Environmental Analysis are recounted 72 hours after collection.

Water samples scheduled for gamma spectral analysis are poured into one-liter Marinelli® containers and are sealed before delivery to the gamma counting area. Routine water samples are counted for approximately eight hours. Samples requiring a lower detection limit are counted from 16 to 72 hours.

Soil samples scheduled for gamma spectral analysis are dried, sieved through a ten-mesh sieve, weighed, and the fine portion is ball-milled. The fine portion is then placed in a 500-ml Marinelli container and counted for at least 16 hours.

Filter samples scheduled for gamma analysis are placed in petri dishes and counted for approximately 16 hours.

All samples scheduled for alpha spectral analysis are analyzed in a similar manner regardless of matrix. Prior to dissolution, a known quantity of nonindigenous radioactive tracer is added to each sample. The tracer is used to determine the chemical recovery for the analysis. Tracers used include Pu-236, Pu-242, U-232, U-236, Am-243, and Cm-244. The type and activity level of the tracer used depends on the type and projected activity level of the sample to be analyzed.

After samples are dissolved, radioisotopes of concern are separated from each other and from the matrix material by various solvent extraction and ion exchange techniques. The purified radioisotopes are electrodeposited onto stainless steel discs. These discs are alpha counted for a minimum of 16 hours. If a lower minimum detection limit is required, samples may be counted from 72 to 168 hours depending upon the need. Samples that exhibit a chemical recovery of less than 10 percent or greater than 110 percent are automatically scheduled for reanalysis.

Tritium analyses are routinely performed on specified environmental water samples as well as

stack effluent samples. Five ml of the sample are combined with 17 ml of liquid scintillation cocktail mixture. Environmental samples are counted for 20 minutes and airborne effluent samples are counted for 4 minutes. All samples are counted at least twice.

The General Laboratory routinely performs the following analyses for environmental monitoring of Plant effluent streams, process wastes and soil residues:

1. Dissolved metallic elements including tests for 31 cations by emission spectroscopic techniques, and 17 elements by atomic absorption techniques (including beryllium in airborne effluent sample filters).
2. Oxygen demand tests, including total organic carbon, dissolved oxygen, chemical oxygen demand, and biological oxygen demand (5 day incubation).
3. Nutrient tests including free ammonia and amines, ortho and total phosphate phosphorus, nitrate and nitrate anions, Kjeldahl nitrogen, and total nitrogen.
4. Physical tests, including pH, conductivity, color, total dissolved solids, suspended solids, turbidity, and specific gravity.
5. Soap residues (as alkyl sulfonate).
6. Oil and grease residues, by extraction and infrared or gravimetric detection, and by visual observation.
7. Specific chemical species, including total hardness (as calcium carbonate), alkalinity (as hydroxide, bicarbonate, or carbonate), chloride, fluoride, cyanide, sulphate, and hexavalent chromium.
8. Radioactive species, including gross alpha and beta by gas proportional detection; tritium by liquid scintillation detection; radium, cesium 134, and strontium 89 or 90 by gravimetric separation followed by gas proportional detection. Isotopes of plutonium,

americium, thorium, uranium, neptunium, and curium are determined by ion exchange and liquid extraction techniques followed by alpha pulse height analysis.

9. Organic toxic species, including Bromacil, Endrin, Lindane, methoxychlor, toxaphene, phenol, polychlorinated-biphenyls, 2,4-D, 2,4,5-TP Silvex, and total organic halogen.

Procedures for these analyses were developed by the General Laboratory professional technical staff. Procedures were adopted from EPA-approved sources or from other recognized authoritative publications where EPA-approved procedures were not available. Laboratory operational procedures are documented in a standard format and are approved by the manager of the Rocky Flats Analytical Laboratories, and distributed to a controlled distribution list to assure that proper testing and approval is performed before changes are adopted. The General Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan requires annual review of procedures for consistency with state-of-the-art techniques, and compliance of lab practice with written procedures. In addition, a review is performed whenever an analytical problem is indicated.

The following is a general outline of the analysis procedures followed by the General Laboratory:

Liquid samples received for gross alpha and beta screening are evaporated directly onto planchets for gas proportional counting within 24 hours of collection. When activities exceeding action guidelines set by Environmental Analysis (EA) are observed, notification to EA is made, and re-analysis is begun immediately for verification. For some liquids such as machine oils, a specified volume is evaporated and the residue is taken up in dilute nitric acid for deposit onto the counting planchet. An appropriate factor is applied to account for self-absorption effects determined for each sample.

Liquid and solid samples submitted for alpha spectral pulse height analysis are analyzed in a manner similar to procedures followed by HS&EL. Chemical separation of elements is followed by deposition of an organic extract of 2-thenoyltri-

fluoroacetone (TTA) complex onto a planchet for pulse height analysis of the alpha energy spectrum.

Water samples to be tested for chemical and physical parameters are analyzed within 24 hours of collection, or they are preserved by refrigeration, freezing, or addition of a chemical preservative when required. The tests performed include gravimetric, titrametric, colorimetric, chromatographic, or electroanalytic methods, following procedures specified in the 15th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water, EPA-600/4-79-020, or other authoritative publications.

Water samples to be analyzed for dissolved metallic ions are filtered through a 4.5-micron filter and evaporated onto a graphite electrode for emission spectrographic analysis. Selected elements are determined for sample solutions by atomic absorption methods after appropriate chemical treatment to prepare the proper analysis matrix.

Organic toxic species are determined by chromatography, following extraction into an appropriate

organic solvent or onto a solid resin, using flame ionization, electron capture, or ultraviolet detection. Some organics, such as phenol, are determined by developing a chromaphoric complex and measuring light absorption at a specific wavelength with a spectrophotometer. Measuring occurs after extraction into an appropriate solvent phase.

Tritium is determined by intimate mixing of 5 milliliters of aqueous sample (or of water that has equilibrated with the sample for a predetermined time to ensure exchange) with 17 milliliters of scintillation cocktail. The mixture is counted for 20 minutes in a scintillation well, and an appropriate factor is applied to account for measuring quenching effects determined in situ for each sample.

Cesium, radium, and strontium isotopes are chemically separated from the sample matrix using precipitation techniques. The isotopes are either deposited on planchets with a carrier element for alpha or beta gas proportional counting, or (for radium 226) counted directly measuring the radon 222 emanation in a scintillation well by using a Lucas gas collection cell.

## APPENDIX D

### DETECTION LIMITS AND ERROR TERM PROPAGATION

The Rocky Flats Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories (HS&EL) have adopted the following definition for detection limit, as given by Harley.<sup>22</sup>

"The smallest amount of sample activity using a given measurement process (i.e. chemical procedure and detector) that will yield a net count for which there is confidence at a predetermined level that activity is present."

Making a reasonable estimate of the Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) for a given radiochemical and counting procedure is complicated by the need to consider each of the following:

1. Detector background
2. Detector counting efficiency
3. Count time
4. Sample volume
5. Analytical blank
6. Type and amount of error allowable
7. Chemical yield or recovery for all steps within the process

During 1980, several significant changes took place in the manner in which the HS&EL calculated

MDA. The changes were made to more realistically represent the sensitivity of the various analyses. These changes increased the calculated MDA reported by the laboratories; however, this does not indicate an increase in the activity level of the samples analyzed.

Because of the low activity of samples analyzed by the HS&EL, negative results are occasionally reported. This is to be expected of samples that have activity levels below their calculated MDA values, especially as the true activity present approaches zero. The primary cause for negative values is low count rate. When a sample in this low count rate is analyzed, the sample may have fewer counts than the average blank for the sample and analysis type. In addition the sample may have the same or fewer counts than the background value for the detector upon which the sample is counted.

Table D-1 shows the various formulae used for alpha data reduction during 1982.

Table D-2 shows the typical MDA values for the various analyses performed by the HS&EL and by the General Laboratories. These values are based on an average sample volume, typical detector efficiency, detector background, count time, and chemical recovery. MDA values calculated for individual analyses may vary significantly depending on actual sample volume, chemical recovery, and analytical blank used.

TABLE D-1. Formulae for Activity and Uncertainty Calculations for the Alpha Spectral Analysis Systems

Non-Blank Corrected Sample Uncertainty

$$a_{si} = \frac{1.96 A_{si}}{V \cdot 2.22} \left[ \frac{\frac{C_{si}}{T_s^2} + \frac{C_{Bi}}{T_B^2}}{\left(\frac{C_{si}}{T_s} - \frac{C_{Bi}}{T_B}\right)^2} + \frac{\frac{C_{sj}}{T_s^2} + \frac{C_{Bj}}{T_B^2}}{\left(\frac{C_{sj}}{T_s} - \frac{C_{Bj}}{T_B}\right)^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

Blank Corrected Sample Uncertainty

$$b_{si} = (a_{si}^2 + a_{ri}^2)^{1/2}$$

Non-Blank Corrected Sample Activity

$$A_{si} = \left[ \frac{\frac{C_{si}}{T_s} - \frac{C_{Bi}}{T_B}}{\frac{C_{sj}}{T_s} - \frac{C_{Bj}}{T_B}} \right] \cdot \frac{D_{sj}}{V \cdot 2.22}$$

Blank Corrected Sample Activity

$$B_{si} = A_{si} - A_{ri}$$

Minimum Detectable Activity Calculation

$$L_{si} = \frac{4.66}{Y \cdot E_s \cdot V \cdot 2.22} \left[ \frac{C_{Bi}}{T_s T_B} + \left( \frac{a_{ri} \cdot E_s}{1.96} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

(continued)



TABLE D-1. (Concluded)

Legend

$A_{ri}$	=	Non-blank corrected activity of laboratory reagent blank for isotope i, expressed as picocuries per unit volume.
$a_{ri}$	=	Non-blank corrected uncertainty of laboratory reagent blank, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$A_{si}$	=	Sample activity for isotope i, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$a_{si}$	=	95 percent confidence level uncertainty of a sample, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$B_{si}$	=	Blank corrected sample activity for isotope i, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$b_{si}$	=	Blank corrected sample uncertainty, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$C_{Bi}$	=	Detector background gross counts for isotope i.
$C_{Bj}$	=	Detector background gross counts for internal standard isotope j.
$C_{si}$	=	Sample gross counts for isotope i.
$C_{sj}$	=	Sample gross counts for internal standard isotope j.
$D_{sj}$	=	Activity (disintegrations per minute) of internal standard isotope j added to sample.
$E_s$	=	Absolute detection efficiency for sample detector.
$L_{si}$	=	Sample minimum detectable activity (MDA) for isotope i, expressed as picocurie per unit volume.
$T_B$	=	Detector background count time expressed in minutes.
$T_s$	=	Sample count time expressed in minutes.
$V$	=	Sample unit volume or sample unit weight.
$Y$	=	Chemical recovery for sample.

TABLE D-2. Detection Limits for Radioactive and Nonradioactive Materials

Legend			
$\mu\text{Ci}$ = microcuries		pCi = picocuries	
$\mu\text{g}$ = micrograms		mg/l = milligrams per liter	
$\text{m}^3$ = cubic meters		SU = standard units	
ml = milliliters		NTU = Nephelometer turbidity units	
Parameter	Approximate Detection Limit (per sample)	Approximate Sample Volume Analyzed <sup>a</sup>	Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration
<u>Airborne Effluent Samples</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	3,200 $\text{m}^3$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.03 \times 10^{-15} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
Uranium 233, 234, 238	$2.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	3,200 $\text{m}^3$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.06 \times 10^{-15} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
Tritium	$5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{Ci}$	0.06 $\text{m}^3$	$83,000 \times 10^{-15} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
Beryllium	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{g}$	128 $\text{m}^3$ <sup>b</sup>	$8 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{g/m}^3$
<u>Ambient Air Samples</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	20,000 $\text{m}^3$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.005 \times 10^{-15} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
<u>Effluent Water Samples (Radioactive)</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	5,000 ml	$0.02 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci/ml}^c$
Uranium 233, 234, 238	$2.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	1,000 ml	$0.2 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
Americium 241	$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	5,000 ml	$0.02 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci/ml}^c$
Tritium	$2.5 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{Ci}$	5 ml	$500 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$
<u>Soil Samples (Radioactive)</u>			
Plutonium 239, 240	$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{Ci}$	10 g	$10.0 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{Ci/g}$
<u>Effluent Water Samples (Nonradioactive)</u>			
pH		Not Applicable	0-14 SU
Nitrate as N		10 ml	0.2 mg/l
Total Phosphorus		50 ml	0.2 mg/l
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-Day		10 ml	1.0 mg/l
Suspended Solids		100 ml	1.0 mg/l
Total Chromium		5 ml	0.05 mg/l
Residual Chlorine		10 ml	<0.1 mg/l
Oil and Grease		500 ml	0.1 mg/l
Fecal Coliform Count		10-100 ml	1 organism/100 ml
Turbidity			30 NTU
Color			30 units

a. Volume analyzed is usually an aliquoted fraction of the total sample volume collected.

b. Monthly composite.

c. Two-week composite.

## APPENDIX E

### REPORTING OF MINIMUM DETECTABLE CONCENTRATION AND ERROR TERMS

Throughout the section entitled "Monitoring Data: Collection, Analyses, and Evaluation" in this report, some of the concentrations that are measured at or below the minimum detectable concentration (MDC) are assigned the MDC value. The less-than symbol ( $<$ ) indicates MDC values and calculated values that include one or more MDC's.

The plutonium, uranium, americium, and beryllium measured concentrations are reported. These reported concentrations include values that are less than the corresponding calculated MDC's and in some cases, values less than zero. Negative values result when the measured value for a laboratory reagent blank is subtracted from an analytical result that was measured as a smaller value than the reagent blank.

Error terms in the form of  $a \pm b$  are included with some of the data. For a single sample, "a" is the reagent-blank corrected value; for multiple samples it represents the average value (arithmetic mean). The error term "b" accounts for the propagated statistical counting uncertainty for the sample and the associated reagent blanks at the 95 percent confidence interval. These error terms represent a minimum estimate of error for the data. Other analytical and sampling errors are being investigated for future incorporation into an all-inclusive error term for each value.

Ninety-five percent confidence limits for the plutonium concentrations in ambient air have been derived using Fieller's Theorem.<sup>23</sup> These limits consist of a Lower Confidence Limit (LCL) and an Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) on each point estimate for the various concentrations. The calculation of the limits requires knowledge of the analytical error term "b" as described above, and in addition, the variance of the air volume measurement associated with a specific sample. These variances are calculated from the data reported as part of a routine flow measurement calibration program for ambient air samplers. Bias errors and temperature coefficients of the sampler readout devices are also statistically computed, and the individual readout devices are individually corrected for those factors.

## REFERENCES

1. *Environmental Impact Statement, Rocky Flats Plant Site*, DOE/EIS-0064 U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D. C., April 1980.
2. "Standards for Radiation Protection," DOE Order 5480.1, Chapter XI, Department of Energy, Washington, D. C., August 13, 1981.
3. D. D. Hornbacher, "Catalogue of Monitoring Activities at Rocky Flats," EA-376-82-237, Rockwell International, Golden, Colorado, June 15, 1982.
4. *Rules and Regulations Pertaining to Radiation Control*, Part IV, Colorado Department of Health, 1978.
5. *Proposed Guidance on Dose Limits for Persons Exposed to Transuranium Elements in the General Environment*, EPA 520/4-77-016, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D. C., September 1977.
6. "National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards," 40 CFR Part 50, Subchapter C - Air Programs, 44 FR-8220, February 8, 1979.
7. NPDES Permit CO-0001333, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D. C., May 20, 1981.
8. *Primary Drinking Water Regulations Handbook*, State of Colorado, Water Quality Control Division, Colorado Department of Health, effective December 15, 1977.
9. "Drinking Water Regulations, Radionuclides," as published in the *Federal Register*, Vol 41, No. 133, pp 28402-09, July 9, 1976.
10. *Natural Background Radiation in the United States*, NCRP Report No. 45, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Washington, D. C., November 15, 1975.
11. D. L. Bokowski, "Rapid Determination of Beryllium by a Direct-Reading Atomic Absorption Spectrometer," in *American Industrial Hygiene Association*, 29:471-481, (1968).
12. "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart C, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D. C., March 3, 1978.
13. J. B. Wedding, *Determination of Sampling Effectiveness of Rocky Flats Hi-Volume Sampler and Filtration Efficiency of Microsorban-98 Fiber Filter*, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 1978.
14. "Environmental Analysis and Control Soil Sampling Procedure," Procedure EAC-S-4, Rockwell International, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, March 20, 1979.
15. T. F. Gesell, G. D. Burke, and K. Becker, "An International Intercomparison of Environmental Dosimeters," in *Health Physics* 30: 125-133, (1976).
16. J. R. Houston, D. L. Streng, and E. C. Watson, *DACRIN - A Computer Program for Calculating Organ Dose From Acute or Chronic Radionuclide Inhalation*, BNWL-B-389, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, December 1974, (Reissued April 1976).
17. G. R. Hoener and J. K. Soldat, *Age-Specific Radiation Dose Commitment Factors for a One-Year Chronic Uptake*, NUREG-0172, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, November 1977.
18. *Report of the Task Group on Reference Man*, ICRP Publication 23, International Commission on Radiological Protection, 1975.
19. *Plutonium in the Soil Around the Rocky Flats Plant*, HASL-235, Health and Safety Laboratory, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, August 1, 1970.
20. *A Guide to Reducing Radiation Exposure to As Low As Reasonably Achievable*

- (ALARA), DOE/EV/1830-T5k Department of Energy, Washington, D. C., April 1980.
21. W. F. Williams, *Health, Safety and Environmental Laboratories Procedures and Practices Manual*, RFP-HS&EL-82, Rockwell International, 1982.
  22. J. H. Harley, Ed., *Procedures Manual and Supplements 1-4*, Health and Safety Laboratory, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1972.
  23. D. J. Finney, *Statistical Method in Biological Assay*, Third Edition, Charles Griffin & Company, London, 1978.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Report Coordinator acknowledges the following primary section authors of this report:

B. A. Abu-Tawileh	R. J. Hammer
C. J. Barker	R. L. Henry
M. R. Boss	N. D. Hoffman
R. J. Crocker	C. T. Illsley
N. M. Daugherty	M. T. Jameson

Also acknowledged are those listed below who function in capacities supportive to publication of this report:

R. W. Hawes	R. E. Nelson
C. R. Hodgins	T. F. Winsor
J. M. Langsted	J. L. Young

A special acknowledgement is extended to M. J. Chambers, Technical Editor of this report, I. C. Delaney and G. Shekell, Compositors, and to M. M. Konst for extensive typing support.

## DISTRIBUTION

## INTERNAL

B. A. Abu-Tawileh	J. E. Dorr
C. J. Barker	C. W. Ellis
A. Benjamin	R. J. Hammer
M. R. Boss	R. W. Hawes
G. W. Campbell	R. L. Henry
R. L. Carpenter	M. F. Hickey
M. J. Chambers	J. E. Hill
T. R. Crites	C. R. Hodgins
R. J. Crocker	N. D. Hoffman
W. D. Crossland	R. B. Hoffman
N. M. Daugherty	D. D. Hornbacher
R. G. DelPizzo	D. N. Ikle
	C. T. Illsley

M. T. Jameson	L. F. Smith
C. R. Lagerquist	R. E. Smith
G. R. Langheim	R. E. Troeltzsch
J. M. Langsted	E. Vejvoda
E. L. McKinney	C. M. Weidner
J. F. McNett	J. M. West
F. G. Owen	D. A. Wiederecht
W. L. Rebro	W. F. Williams
J. K. Roberts	T. F. Winsor
<del>C. R. Rose</del>	R. E. Yoder
E. D. Ruby	E. R. Young
W. M. Shannon	Environmental Master File
K. E. Shirk	Rocky Flats Library

## EXTERNAL

Energy Systems Group  
 R. O. Williams, Jr.  
 M. E. Remley (5 copies)

Rockwell International, Corporate  
 J. Maciejczyk

USDOE-Assistant Secretary for  
 Environmental Protection, Safety,  
 and Emergency Preparedness  
 W. H. Vaughan

USDOE-Headquarters,  
 Office of Military Applications  
 Major General W. W. Hoover  
 G. C. Facer

USDOE-EML  
 P. W. Krey  
 H. Volchok

USDOE-ALO  
 D. G. Jackson  
 R. L. Miller (19 copies)

USDOE-OR  
 Technical Information Center  
 (27 copies)

USDOE-RFAO  
 J. R. Nicks  
 J. L. Bellows  
 B. L. Crist  
 J. G. Stearns, Jr. (6 copies)

Environmental Protection Agency  
 Region VIII  
 S. J. Durham  
 J. Giedt (6 copies)

Environmental Protection Agency  
 Environmental Monitoring System Laboratory  
 R. E. Stanley

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
 J. Endres

The Honorable R. D. Lamm  
 Governor of Colorado

United States Senate  
 The Honorable G. W. Hart  
 The Honorable W. L. Armstrong

United States House of Representatives  
 The Honorable P. Schroeder  
 The Honorable T. E. Wirth

Colorado Department of Health  
 F. Traylor, M. D.  
 G. Broetzman  
 A. J. Hazle (4 copies)  
 J. Lents  
 R. Arnott

Colorado Division of Disaster/Emergency Services  
 J. P. Byrne

EXTERNAL

Colorado Water Conservation Board  
N. C. Ioannides

Public Service Company of Colorado  
Ft. St. Vrain

Jefferson County Board of Health  
C. V. DeShazer  
W. Doig, M. D.  
G. Gilbert, M. D.  
J. Hunt, M. D.  
R. Newman  
M. Walsh

Jefferson County Commissioners  
D. C. Stanbro (3 copies)

Jefferson County Department of Health  
C. Miller, M. D.  
W. G. Brown

Boulder City/County Department  
of Health  
J. H. Donnelly, M. D.  
B. E. Miller

Boulder County Commissioners  
J. W. Heath (3 copies)

Denver Council of Regional Government  
Water Resources  
L. Mugler

City of Arvada  
D. Allard, City Manager  
R. LeBlanc

City of Boulder  
R. G. Westdyke, City Manager

City of Broomfield  
G. D. DiCiero, City Manager  
M. Thurber, Director Public Works

City of Denver  
W. H. McNichols, Mayor

City of Golden  
D. L. Goudge, City Manager

City of Lafayette  
M. Acimovic, City Manager

City of Louisville  
L. A. Wurl, City Manager

City of Northglenn  
D. Hawker, City Manager

City of Thornton  
G. Hagman, City Manager

City of Westminster  
W. M. Christopher, City Manager

Rocky Flats Monitoring Committee  
R. Kelley

Environmental Analysis  
Rockwell Hanford Operations  
B. E. Knight  
D. L. Uhl

Environmental Assessment and Planning  
Mound Facility  
R. A. Neff

Environmental Health Department  
Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque  
W. D. Burnett

Hazards Control Department,  
University of California  
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
A. J. Toy

Health Division  
Water Resources  
University of California  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
J. Aragon

Environmental Sciences Section  
E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company  
Savannah River Laboratory  
W. C. Reinig

Exxon Nuclear Idaho Co., Inc.  
D. R. Alexander